IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF APACHE

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN
THE LITTLE COLORADO RIVER SYSTEM
AND SOURCE

NO. 6417

PRE-TRIAL ORDER NO. 1
RE: CONDUCT OF ADJUDICATION

MANAGEMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF

(Judge Minker)

INDEX

SUBJECT	¶ NO.	PAGE NO.
PURPOSE OF THIS ORDER	1	1
NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS	2	2
OVERVIEW OF PROCEEDINGS	. 3	3
MAINTENANCE OF COURT RECORDS	4	3
FILINGS WITH CLERK OF COURT	5	4
Definitions	5.A	4
Special Procedure for Filing	5.B	5
Clerk of the Superior Court for Apache County	5.B(1)	5
Clerk of the Superior Court for Apache, Coconino, Greenlee, Maricopa and Navajo Counties	5.B(2)	6
Department of Water Resources (DWR)	5.B(3)	6
Parties	5.B(4)	8
SERVICE OF PLEADINGS AND OTHER PAPERS FILED	6	.9
APPROVAL BY SUPREME COURT	7	9
SETTLEMENT COMMITTEE	8	10
MOTIONS	9	10

	,			
	1	Priority of Certain Substantive and Procedural Motions	9.A	10
	2	Discovery Motions	9.B	10
	3	Time Periods	9.C	11
	4	Consideration of Motions	9.D	11
	5	Oral Argument	9.E	12
	6	Motion Hearing Schedule	9.F	12
	7	ISSUE IDENTIFICATION AND RESOLUTION	10	12
	8	Introduction	10.A	12
	9	Issues	10.B	12
	10	Procedures .	10.B(1)	13
	11	HSR Investigations of Rights		
	12	Based Upon State Law	10.B(2)	14
	13	Rights Based Upon State Law HSR Investigations of Rights	10.B(3)	14
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14	Based Upon Federal Law	10.B(4)	14
•	15	Prior Decrees	10.B(5)	14
	16	Defining the River System and Source	10.B(6)	15
	17	Rights Based Upon Federal Law	10.B(7)	15
	18	Issue Resolution	10.C	16
•	19	DISCOVERY	11	16
	20	Introduction	11.A	16
	21	Prerequisites to Formal Discovery	11.B	17
	22 00	Discovery of Small Claimants of 50 Acre-Feet or Less	11.C	17
	23	Discovery of Other Small Claimants	11.D	18
	24	Discovery for Larger Claimants	11.E	19
	25	DWR as a Central Repository of Information	11.F	19
	26	Exchange of Expert Reports	11.G	19
	27	Depositions	11.Н	20
	28			

1	Uniform Location for Depositions	11.1	20
2	Stipulations on Basic Matters and Technical Considerations	11.J	21
3	DWR REPORTS AND THE HEARING PROCESS	12	21
4	Introduction	12.A	21
5	Hydrographic Survey Reports	12.B	22
6	HSRs: Notice and Comment	12.C	24
7	Re-Opening HSRs	12.D	25
8	TECHNICAL MEETINGS	13	26
9	RELATIONSHIP TO GILA RIVER ADJUDICATION	14	26
10	PROJECTION OF SCHEDULES	15	26
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
1Ω			

3

5

2

Pursuant to the authority vested in this Court by A.R.S. § 45-259 and Rule 16(b) of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court hereby enters the following Order providing guidelines for the further conduct of this litigation.

In entering this Order the Court is guided by concerns of judicial economy, efficiency, and resolution of this adjudication in as short a time as the complexities of this case and its scope allow.

This Order is a substantial modification of the Court's Tentative Pre-Trial Order distributed prior to the hearing on January 16, 1987.

Changes to the Tentative Pre-Trial Order appear at:

Page	of	Tentative	Pre-Trial	Order	Line
1					9
3					10-11
4					7
8					12-17, 21-23
9					21, 27 (new para. 8.A)
10					27
11					11, 13, 21
12					26
					to p. 13, line 12.
17					17
18					6, 9-12, 14-20
19					12
20					2, 18
21					22, 25-28
22					8-17
23-3	2				
33					1, 11-15

In addition, Paragraph 8 (Settlement Conference) is added.

1. PURPOSE OF THIS ORDER

The purpose of this Order is to set a general framework within which the adjudication of water rights in the Little Colorado River System and Source may be determined. The Court anticipates that further and additional Pre-Trial Orders will be required in order

to efficiently manage a case so large and complex. Accordingly, this Order may be amended or clarified in later Orders entered by the Court.

2. NATURE OF PROCEEDINGS

This proceeding is a general stream adjudication authorized pursuant to A.R.S. § 45-251 through A.R.S. § 45-260 and any other applicable provisions of Arizona law.

The purpose of this adjudication is to determine all rights to the use of water obtained from the Little Colorado River Basin System in the State of Arizona.

Parties desiring further information concerning the background and nature of these proceedings are referred to decisions rendered by the Supreme Court of the United States and the Arizona Supreme Court. These decisions are, respectively, Arizona et al. v. San Carlos Apache Tribe of Arizona, et al., 463 U.S. 545, 103 S.Ct. 3201, 77 L.Ed.2d 837 (1983) and United States of America v. Superior Court of the State of Arizona, 144 Ariz. 265, 697 P.2d 658 (1985).

Additional information concerning these proceedings is available from the Arizona Department of Water Resources, the state agency mandated by A.R.S. § 45-256 to provide technical and administrative assistance in this general adjudication. The address and telephone number of this agency is as follows:

Arizona Department of Water Resources 99 East Virginia Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Tele. No. (in Arizona): 1-800-352-8488 (Outside Arizona): 1-602-255-1520

A non-legal but comprehensive discussion of this adjudication

process may also be found in the booklet prepared by the Arizona Water Information Center, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, entitled "Protect Your Water Rights." While intended for lay potential claimants it provides worthwhile information in a clear and concise manner.

3. OVERVIEW OF PROCEEDINGS

The general procedure established by the State of Arizona concerning this adjudication is set forth in A.R.S. § 45-256 and § 45-257.

Other portions of this Order provide greater detail on the procedures to be followed in these adjudications. These procedures provide a method for determining and quantifying existing rights based on state law; determining and quantifying existing rights to use and reserved rights to use of water arising under federal law, including all federally held real property including but not limited to Indian reservations, and a method for integrating all such rights, including rights determined under prior Court decrees, in a single integrated decree for the Little Colorado River System and Source, in the State of Arizona.

Given the size of these proceedings, the complexity of the issues to be determined and the importance of the adjudication, the proceedings before a final decree can be entered will be lengthy.

4. MAINTENANCE OF COURT RECORDS

The Clerk of the Apache County Superior Court is hereby directed to review the current procedure for receiving, filing and storing the record in these proceedings. Given the anticipated large number of pleadings and other materials which will be filed in these proceedings through the years, the Clerk is directed to:

Coordinate with the Department of Water Resources concerning all aspects of record maintenance.

5. FILINGS WITH CLERK OF COURT

Α. Definitions

- "Descriptive summary" means a one-sentence statement in a document filed in this action that states the nature of the document, its relationship to any other document (e.g., Response to [X's Motion for Summary Judgment) the action or relief requested, |12| the Statement of Claimant number of the party filing the document, the number of pages and date of filing.
 - "Party" means a person or entity who files a timely Statement of Claimant or for whom a Statement of Claimant is filed, whether or not the Statement of Claimant complies with the requirements prescribed in A.R.S. § 45-254 or with the orders of this Court, and successors in interest to these individuals, who shall automatically be substituted as parties pursuant to Rule 25(d), Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, except that party does not include a person or entity whom the Court has determined is not a party to this action.
 - (3) "Statement of Claimant Number" means a number and/or letters assigned by DWR identifying each claimant's claim in these proceedings.

В. Special Procedure for Filing

The Court notes that strict compliance with the provision

28

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

of Rule 5(a) of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, requiring that nearly all papers filed with the Court subsequent to the original complaint be served upon each of the parties, would work a severe financial hardship on many parties, and might discourage or even prevent them from actively participating in this action. light of the mandate of Rule 1 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure that the Rules be construed "to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every action," for the purpose of this action compliance with the procedures set forth below shall constitute full compliance with Rule 5(a). Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the following procedures shall apply to all documents filed in this action, except Statements of Claimant.

1

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

22

23

24

26

27

- Clerk of the Superior Court for Apache County (1)The Clerk of the Superior Court for Apache County shall:
- Assign a number to each document, other than a. 17||Statements of Claimant, filed in this action.
 - Maintain a docket sheet for all documents identified in paragraph 5.B.(1)a above. The docket sheet shall be updated bi-weekly and shall include the number or letter assigned to the party and document, the complete title of the document and any descriptive summary contained in the document.
 - Within thirty (30) days after the effective date of this Order, provide to the DWR and the Clerks of the Superior Court of Arizona in Coconino, Greenlee, Maricopa and Navajo Counties, a copy of the docket sheet for this action identifying all documents filed in this action prior to the effective date of this Order.

e. Any documents presented for filing, other than a Statement of Claimant, shall not be accepted by the Clerk unless it is accompanied by a Certificate of Mailing which states that copies of the document presented have, in fact, been mailed or delivered to all those designated in paragraph 5.B.(4) hereafter listed and all those designated on the Court's approved mailing list. The Clerk shall have available copies of the mailing list and shall provide a copy to any party upon request and payment of a fee set by the Clerk to defray the cost of providing such copies.

(2) Clerks of the Superior Court for Coconino, Greenlee, Maricopa and Navajo Counties

The Clerk of the Superior Court for Coconino,

Greenlee, Maricopa and Navajo Counties, shall post in a conspicuous

location in the Clerk's office the complete docket sheet for this

action or a notice indicating where in the Clerk's office the

complete docket sheet is available for inspection.

(3) Department of Water Resources (DWR)

The DWR shall:

a. Post in a conspicuous location in the Phoenix office of the DWR the complete docket sheet for this action or a notice indicating where in the office the complete docket sheet is

available for inspection.

b. Within forty-five (45) days after the effective date of this Order, send by the first class mail a notice to each party to this action. The notice shall state:

- (1) Where the complete docket sheet for this action is available for inspection.
- (2) That copies of documents filed in this action are available from the DWR for the DWR's normal copying charge plus any applicable mailing fee.
- (3) That the Court has entered a Pre-Trial Order regarding procedures to be followed in this adjudication.
- (4) That the DWR will mail a copy of each month's docket sheet and the Pre-Trial Order(s) to a party upon payment of a fee to be established by the DWR to cover actual expenses.
- c. Within forty-five (45) days after the effective date of this Order, publish or cause to be published in newspapers of general circulation serving all areas covered by this adjudication a copy of the notice described in paragraph 5.B.(3)b above.
- d. Upon receipt of a Statement of Claimant filed by a person who was not previously a party to this action, send to each such additional party by first class mail a notice containing information required by paragraph 5.B.(3) above.
- e. Provide to any person a copy of a document filed in this action upon the payment of the DWR's normal copying charge plus any applicable mailing fee.

f. Mail a copy of each month's docket sheet to a

party who pays a fee established by the DWR to cover actual expenses.

- g. Mail a copy of any document or paper filed by it in these proceedings to all addressees on the Court mailing list.
- h. File with the Clerks of the Court in Apache, Coconino, Greenlee, Maricopa and Navajo Counties, on behalf of all parties in a form to be approved by the Court a Notice of Lis Pendens which shall describe the property encompassed, the nature of these proceedings, and the effect thereof as to any water rights the property may have or may claim to have. The DWR shall also cause the Notice of Lis Pendens to be recorded in the office of the County Recorder of Apache, Coconino and Navajo Counties.

(4) Parties

a. A party to this action shall:

- (1) File the original of a document permitted or required to be filed in this action with the Clerk of the Superior Court for Apache County, provide one copy of the document to the Court, one copy to the DWR and one copy to each party on the Court's approved mailing list.
- (2) Mail a copy to any party not on the Court's approved mailing list against whom the matter is addressed or from whom relief is sought.
- (3) For each document filed in this action, set forth immediately after the caption a descriptive summary of the document.

6. SERVICE OF PLEADINGS AND OTHER PAPERS FILED

1.4

Each party shall mail a copy of any document other than a Statement of Claimant Form to all parties listed on the Court's approved mailing list. Each party who is currently on the Court's mailing list in this action shall serve a copy of any pleading or paper filed with the Clerk or the Court upon all other parties currently on the mailing list.

All parties desiring to remain on or be placed on the Court's approved mailing list may do so by filing a written request with the Court, within 30 days of the effective date of this Order. Copies of the request shall be mailed to all persons then on the mailing list. Any person making such a request shall thereafter be obligated to provide copies of any document or pleading it files in this action to all other persons on the mailing list.

7. APPROVAL BY SUPREME COURT

Because A.R.S. § 45-259 mandates the application of the Rules of Civil Procedure to this proceeding, and literal compliance with those rules is virtually impossible especially as to the rules on service of documents, this Pre-Trial Order shall be submitted to the Arizona Supreme Court pursuant to Article 6, Section 5 of the Arizona Constitution, A.R.S. § 12-109 and Rule 83 of the Rules of Civil Procedure for waiver of the service of documents rule and for ratification and approval of such parts thereof as the Arizona Supreme Court deems appropriate.

Any claimant wishing to make objections regarding this Pre-Trial Order to the Arizona Supreme Court shall file such objections in writing with the Clerk of the Arizona Supreme Court within 60 days of the date of this Order.

8. SETTLEMENT COMMITTEE

1

2

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Because the possibility of resolution of claims by settlement has been raised by more than one of the claimants, the Court appoints a Settlement Committee to meet and explore the settlement potential of this litigation. The Committee shall consist of the attorneys for the State of Arizona, Hopi Tribe, Navajo Nation, United States of America, Phelps Dodge Corporation, Salt River Project, Seven Springs Ranch (and other claimants), Aztec Land and Cattle Company, Bar-T-Bar Ranch (and other claimants) and Department of Water Resources. The Chairman of the Committee shall be Harry R. Sachse. Any claimant wishing to be added to or deleted from the Committee shall make request of the Court. The Committee shall meet at least once within 120 days of this Order, as coordinated by the Chairman. The Chairman shall report to the court in writing within nine months of this Order as to prospects of settlement. The Committee may at any time propose to the Court an order regarding confidentiality of settlement discussions.

9. MOTIONS

A. Priority of Certain Substantive and Procedural Motions

The Court is confronted with the need to prioritize the substantive and procedural issues in order to direct this litigation toward its goal. The Court will begin prioritization according to the plan set forth in Paragraph 10.B.

B. Discovery Motions

Any party filing a Motion for Sanctions or a Motion to Compel Discovery pursuant to Rule 37 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure shall file an additional certification as paft of the Motion. This certification shall include:

- A statement of the efforts made by the party or their counsel to resolve the discovery problem;
- 2. That the discovery sought is in compliance with the Court's limitations on discovery such as form, timing, scope, etc.

All parties are advised that any Motions not complying with these prerequisites will be summarily denied.

C. Time Periods

Any party filing a Response to a Motion in this proceeding shall do so within thirty-five (35) days of the date of service of the Motion or publication by docket sheet, whichever is first. Any party filing a Reply to any Response shall do so within twenty (20) days of the date of service or publication by docket sheet, whichever is first. The time periods set forth herein are in addition to the five (5) day period for service by mail specified pursuant to Rule 6(e) of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure. The time periods set forth herein shall not apply to any issue scheduled for determination by the Court to which an established briefing schedule applies.

D. Consideration of Motions

Except for good cause shown a Motion filed in this action shall be heard by the Court no earlier than forty-five (45) days after the Motion has been filed, which period of time shall begin when the docket sheet on which the Motion appears shall be available from the DWR.

E. Oral Argument

Only those parties having filed a written Motion or Response will be heard at oral argument on that particular Motion. No later than thirty (30) days prior to the date set for oral

argument, the parties having filed or joined in the Motion and parties having filed any Responses shall determine the amount of time to be sought for oral argument and shall obtain prior permission for any oral argument extending more than twenty (20) minutes per party, as specified in Local Rule 2 of the Local Rules of Apache County Superior Court. The foregoing requirements concerning oral argument of Motions shall not be applicable to argument upon issues specified in the Court's Briefing Schedule.

F. Motion Hearing Schedule

Except as specifically ordered to the contrary, the Court will attempt to hear all pending Motions and matters requiring oral argument on the second Friday in May and the second Friday in October at 9:00 a.m. in the Apache County Courthouse.

10. ISSUE IDENTIFICATION AND RESOLUTION

Α. Introduction

This general adjudication encompasses a myriad of procedural, technical and legal issues. The prompt, orderly and consistent resolution of these issues is critical to achieving a meaningful, comprehensive decree. The following mechanism is established to identify the issues in this action and to provide a method for their resolution.

в. Issues

The procedural, technical and legal issues in this action shall be considered in three clusters:

- Those questions that must necessarily be decided in order for DWR to complete its HSRs.
 - Those legal issues which can be briefed and decided (2)

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25

26

27

without the context of a factual dispute.

(3) Those procedural, technical and/or legal issues which should not be decided outside the context of a factual dispute.

The Court will schedule for hearing and decision issues in cluster one first, to the exclusion of other issues, unless a specific motion is made and good cause shown. In submitting to the Court a list of those issues believed to properly belong in cluster one, all claimants are referred to Paragraph 12.B of this Order, and to the first HSR completed by DWR in the Gila River Adjudication as a primary illustration of what an HSR in this case may contain and how it may be organized. All claimants may submit a list of issues to the Court within 60 days of the date of this Order. The Court will then compile a list of issues to be decided and circulate a briefing and hearing schedule.

Following the resolution of issues in cluster one, the Court will proceed to consider which issues may belong to cluster two and proceed with them.

Examples of issues which may be considered during the length of this adjudication are:

(1) Procedures

- a. Amendments to Statements of Claimant.
- b. Disposition of Statements of Claimant filed after the filing deadline.
- c. Base years for determination of water uses and rights.

1			(2) Extent of water users affected by the			
2	decree.					
3		b.	Administration			
4			(1) Abandonments and forfeitures.			
5			(2) Transfers.			
6		c.	Provisions of decree subject to modification by			
7	the Court.		3			
8		d.	Extent to which decree is binding on the Court.			
9		e.	Effect of decree on claim based on federal law.			
10	(6)	Defin	ing the River System and Source			
11	(2)					
12	Man Mania	a.	Sources of supply originating in the State of			
13	New Mexico.					
14		b.	Sources of supply originating outside the			
15	watershed or fi	com ef	fluent, groundwater transportation or augmen-			
16	tation.					
17		c.	Groundwater subject to jurisdiction based upon			
18	state law.					
19		d.	Groundwater subject to jurisdiction based upon			
20	federal law.					
21	(7)	Right	s Based Upon Federal Law			
22		а.	Basis of right for existing water uses on			
2324	federal reservations.					
2 4 25		b.	Legal theories for determining the extent and			
26	priority of fe	deral	law rights.			
27		с.	Relationship between federal and state law on			
	matters of pot	entia	l conflict.			

d. Factors to be considered in determining extent of rights under doctrine of equitable apportionment.

- e. Factors to be considered in determining extent of rights under doctrine of practicably irrigable acres.
- f. Factors to be considered in determining extent of rights under other doctrines.
- g. Primary purposes and secondary uses for which federal reservation were reserved.
- h. Application of reserved rights doctrine to purchased Indian lands.

C. Issue Resolution

After all parties to the adjudication have been joined, the Court shall set a briefing schedule for the issues in each Segment. The briefing schedule will order briefs from all interested parties and allow responses to initial briefs.

11. DISCOVERY

A. Introduction

The vast number of claimants implies the possibility for endless and costly discovery. Further, because of the number of claimants, duplicative discovery is certain if a preventative procedure is not developed. The Court is concerned about the cost of obtaining technical evidence. If each party attempts to acquire the same technical evidence, there will be a great waste of resources and the likelihood of discovery abuse increases, without benefit to the process. The Court therefore sets the following goals.

(1) Within reasonable limits relating to the amount and

nature of the claimed use, to allow each claimant to obtain such information as necessary to permit evaluation and possible objection to any other claim;

1

4

5

 6°

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

- To provide uniform methods of obtaining information from claimants and to prefer such uniform methods over individual discovery requests;
- (3) To integrate the timing of discovery procedures, 8 with the sequence of adjudications as determined by the Court;
 - To minimize the time and expense of discovery upon claimants having claims of an amount or of a priority date which may warrant less comprehensive discovery procedures;
 - (5) To avoid duplication of effort and needless expense to parties by encouraging the use of shared expertise by multiple claimants:
 - To simplify discovery by the establishment of realistic time periods given the complexity of the adjudication, its scope and the resources of the parties;
 - To utilize, wherever possible, the technical (7) expertise, administrative resources and public records of the DWR in order to reduce the expense, time, and complexity of traditional discovery procedures.

В. Prerequisites to Formal Discovery

The Court mandates that the informal exchange of properly discoverable information is a prerequisite to any formal discovery. Before any formal discovery is taken, a party must certify that it has reviewed the DWR's records and the information sought is not available from the DWR.

С. Discovery of Small Claimants of 50 Acre-Feet or Less

1

2

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

No formal discovery, without leave of Court, shall be allowed of claimants with total claims for less than fifty (50) acre-feet of water per year, where the sole use claimed is for stockwatering purposes or for domestic use. Parties interested in these claims will be allowed to make informal inquiry of the claimant and his counsel and the DWR shall make available all of its records upon request to secure the needed information. 9||deemed necessary, a formal request to the Court for further discovery can be made, but the request must specify in detail the need for such discovery. If, however, any of such designated small claimants makes discovery of other claimants, then they shall be subject to discovery consistent with the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure and the procedures for discovery designated by this Court. Claimants in this category are designate Group 1 claimants.

D. Discovery of Other Small Claimants

Claimants of more than 50 acre-feet but less than 100 acre-feet or claimants of less than 50 acre-feet used for other than stock watering purposes or domestic use may be required to answer and verify its answers to a short questionnaire submitted to them through the DWR and to support their responses with such corraborative documentary evidence as available. The DWR may, at its option, serve the questionnaire on all such claimants or only upon selected claimants. The DWR may also, at its option, refrain from serving the questionnaire on any claimants. Claimants of greater than 50 acre-feet but less than 100 acre-feet shall be designated Group 2 claimants.

No formal discovery on a Group 2 claimant would be permitted

except upon good cause shown through application to the Court. Any Group 2 claimant who wished to actively participate in the adjudication through the filing of objections to claims, would be required to meet all discovery, pretrial, and trial procedures the same as a Group 3 claimant.

Ε. Discovery for Larger Claimants

1

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

Group 3 would be comprised of those claimants having the 8 largest claims and as to which extensive discovery would be most 9 appropriate and beneficial. These claimants, e.g., utilities, 10 irrigation districts, cities, the United States and Indian tribes, would be subject to more comprehensive discovery procedures, but care shall be taken that the same shall not be duplicitous, onerous or burdensome.

F. DWR as a Central Respository of Information

The DWR, in its capacity as a collector and respository of data and information, can do much to relieve the burden of The DWR shall collect and make basic, basin-wide or discovery. state-wide data available to the parties. Further, the parties may be ordered to make documents of general interest which they discover available to the DWR. The DWR will, in turn, make these documents generally available. The DWR should create an index of the documents available to interested parties. The index should contain an abstract of each document, listing sufficient detail to allow the efficient search for relevant and helpful documents and records.

The DWR shall also make its field work data available to all parties at a central location. Claimants' files should be maintained and made available to interested parties. In addition to

being consistent with the DWR's statutory role, the DWR's efficient record-keeping and practice of making common records available will greatly ease the discovery burden.

G. Exchange of Expert Reports

Expert discovery is likely to be an expensive and timeconsuming element of the discovery required to prepare the adjudication for hearing. In order to ease this burden, each expert that
will testify at trial will be required to prepare a report containing final opinions and a summary of the data supporting those
opinions. These reports will be available to all interested
parties and must be prepared at least 60 days before each expert's
deposition.

H. Depositions

Deposition discovery can be coordinated so that only one deposition per witness is necessary. At the one deposition in of each witness, each party will have an opportunity to examine the witness. In order to make expert witness depositions meaningful under this system, it will be necessary for the Court to set a date when the final opinions of experts must be ready. Depositions of experts taken before the preparation of final opinions and reports would be wasteful, and therefore will not be allowed except with prior Court order.

I. Uniform Location for Depositions

To the extent possible, a uniform location at the Apache County Courthouse shall be established for the taking of depositions.

Any deposition of an expert shall be continued with the final part of a deposition to be postponed for a period of not less than

sixty (60) days from the date of delivery of the transcript to the parties. This will enable any party not in attendance at the original earlier portion of the deposition to make an assessment of the testimony obtained from the deponent and determine whether or not additional questions are required at the continued deposition. This delay procedure is specifically recommended in the Manual for Complex Litigation.

J. Stipulation on Basic Matters and Technical Considerations

The parties and their experts are encouraged to work together to achieve stipulations on basic definitions and facts. For instance, stipulations should be achieved regarding a common map scale, the consumptive use of crops, water duties for irrigation systems, Indian Reservation boundaries, points of diversion and basin-wide hydrologic or geologic data. Reducing disagreement about basic facts will focus the discovery and the Court's or Master's inquiry. A failure to stipulate where there are no meaningful differences will lead the Court to consider sanctions and the award of attorneys fees against the offending party and/or its attorney.

12. DWR REPORTS AND THE HEARING PROCESS

A. Introduction

The Court recognizes that it is appropriate for the DWR to exercise its discretion, subject to any applicable statutory requirements and orders of this Court, in determining the format of and to some extent the schedule for preparing the report required by A.R.S. § 45-256.B. The Court also recognizes that at this time the schedule for preparing the report or any component of the

report cannot be predicted with certainty. Nonetheless, a summary of the DWR's current plans is necessary to provide a context for this section and other sections of this Order. In light of these considerations, subsections A and B, below, are a summary of the DWR's plans for producing the required report. The DWR's schedule for the production of the required report is attached hereto as Subject to any applicable statutory requirements, any provisions of this Order other than subsections A and B, below, and any subsequent Orders of this Court, may only be modified by Court Order for good cause shown. The DWR shall produce the required reports in accordance with the attached Exhibit A. Hydrographic Survey Reports The DWR shall produce one or more Hydrographic Survey Report ("HSR") for the Little Colorado River System. The precise scope and contents of the HSRs will be determined by the Court following briefing and hearing of the material issues.

At the present time DWR stands ready to produce one or more non-Indian lands HSR containing the following:

I. Introduction

1

3

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- A. DWR Reports
- B. Purpose of the Adjudication
- C. History of Proceeding
- D. Types of Water Rights and Claims
- E. Geography
- II. Water Uses, Water Resources, and Their Interrelationship
 - A. Water Uses
 - B. Water Resources

1	ттт	Uicto	History						
2	111.	HISCO							
3	IV.	Econo	Economy						
4	V.	Prese	Present Water Uses						
5		Α.	General Description of the Reservations						
6 7			Compilation of Legal Record Applicable to Estable ment of Federal Water Rights, Federal Water Projects, Federal Decrees and Contracts	olish-					
8		c.	History of Water Resources						
10		D.	Current Location, Type and Quantity of Water Us	se					
11		E.	Maps of Current Water Uses						
12	VI.	Claim	med Unexercised Federal Rights						
13		Α.	Hydrologic Assessment of the Availability of La						
14			and Water Resources to Satisfy the Claimed Une: cised Rights	xer-					
15		в.	Engineering Assessment of the Feasibility, Safe and Cost of Constructing the Facilities Association						
16 17		-	with the Plan for Exercising the Total Extend Claimed Federal Rights						
18		c.	Economic Assessment of the Financial Return an Overall Contribution to the Total Tribal Economic						
19			From Implementation of the Plan for Exercising Total Extent of Claimed Federal Rights	4					
20		D.	Maps of Contemplated Water Uses						
21		- •	The proposed timing for completion of HSRs is	not					
22	capable c	of spec	cific definition. With the goal of completing						
23			1993, the Court proposes the following time so						
24	for HSR o	omple:	tion.						
25			HSR Date						
26		1.	Snowflake Subwatershed Spring 1988	3					
27		2.	St. Johns Subwatershed Spring 1990)					

II.

Water Resources

Spring 1991

Summer 1990

Notice and Comment HSRs:

- Pursuant to A.R.S. § 45-256.C, the DWR shall adopt such rules as may be necessary to ensure that adequate notice is given to each party that a preliminary HSR is available for inspection and comment and that the parties have reasonable opportunity to inspect and comment on the preliminary HSR.
- After expiration of the period for filing comments (2) on the preliminary HSR, the DWR shall revise the preliminary HSR as may be appropriate and shall file the HSR with the Court and the Master.
- An HSR filed with the Court and the Master shall be made available for inspection by any party.
- A party may file a written objection to an HSR a. or any part of an HSR within 180 days after the date the HSR is filed with the Court and the Master. A written objection shall be filed with the Court and the Master.
- b. An objection can be made to the legal or factual basis of the determination made in the HSR regarding the individual claim.
- An objection must be timely filed and state in c. clear and concise language the particular factual and/or legal reasons for the objection and describe the evidence to support those reasons.

D. Re-Opening HSRs

If, after the Master has held an evidentiary hearing (1)

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

or hearings on an HSR and filed a report on the HSR with the Court, a decision or order of the Court makes it necessary to include information in an HSR that was not included in the HSR as filed with the Court and the Master or changes the criteria used by the DWR to determine one or more facts contained in an HSR, the Court or Master hearing the matter may require the DWR to prepare a supplemental HSR containing any necessary additional information.

(2) The Court may determine that additional evidentiary hearings are necessary on a supplemental HSR. The hearing if ordered shall be held at such a time as to promote the just, speedy and inexpensive determination of the facts contained in the supplemental HSR. The procedures set forth in subsections C and D, above, shall apply to supplemental HSRs.

13. TECHNICAL MEETINGS

- A. The DWR may call meetings to be attended by any or all of the parties at their discretion for the purpose of discussing technical issues relating to the DWR's technical assistance to the Court.
- B. The DWR shall file a notice of each technical meeting with the Court. Notice of the technical meetings shall be given to all parties in the same manner as notice must be given of other documents filed in this action.
- C. All technical meetings shall be open to the parties and to the public. Participation in the meetings by persons other than the parties specifically invited by the DWR to attend the meeting shall be at the discretion of those parties specifically invited by the DWR.

14. RELATINESS TO GILA RIVER ADMIDICATION

To the extent possible all proceedings herein, discovery, an accetings, shall be carried out in a manner consistent with the proceedings conducted as part of in Re the General Adjudication (All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Bourge, 18-08 W-1, W-2, W-3, W-4 (consolidated)(Maricopa County).

15. PROJECTION OF SCHEDULE

Attached hereto is Exhibit A which is a graphic projection the schedule and process of this adjudication as this Court envisions the same.

DATED this 24th day of April , 1987.

Judge

ij

٠,

G

1()

1.3

įį

Reporting Scheme and Schedule For Little Colorado River Adjudication

Jan	1986	Snowflake Subwatersh	l .	System	HSRs	Indian	Reservations H	1SR
Jan	1987	Hydrographi Survey Report	c	t.Johns				
Jan	1988	Investigation	Hyd	watershed Irographic			Hydrographic Survey	
Jan	1989		Rep	ort estigations	Winslow Subwatershed		Report Investigations	
Jan	1990				Hydrographic Survey			
Jan	1991				Report			