

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN
THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND
SOURCE

W1-00-001675 (Consolidated)
Contested Case No. W1-11-001675
(contested case W1-11-002093 consolidated
with W1-11-001675)

**ORDER ON MOTIONS FOR
RECONSIDERATION**

CASE NAME: *In re St. David Irrigation District*
HSR INVOLVED: San Pedro River Watershed Hydrographic Survey Report
DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY: This Order sets a deadline for responses to the parties' motions for reconsideration and narrows the permissible scope of any responses.
NUMBER OF PAGES: 3
DATE OF FILING: April 10, 2026

The Court has reviewed and considered San Carlos Apache Tribe's *Motion for Reconsideration and Notice That It Joins in Gila River Indian Community's Motion for Reconsideration*, Gila River Indian Community's *Motion for Reconsideration*, the United States' *Joinder in Gila River Indian Community's Motion for Reconsideration*, and relevant portions of the record in this case.

THE COURT FINDS merit to Gila River Indian Community's argument that the Court erred in recognizing an existing water right belonging to Terisha Driggs. The Court is inclined to amend its ruling as follows:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1. Amending lines 9-11 on page 12 as follows: “**THE COURT FURTHER FINDS** that ~~the~~ TO THE EXTENT ANY right to water EXISTS for the purpose of irrigating 17.5 acres of land on the west side of Terisha Driggs’s Property, THAT RIGHT has not been forfeited due to non-use.
2. Deleting entirely lines 16-17 on page 12.

The Court will not make those amendments until other parties to this particular dispute have had an opportunity to respond to the proposed amendments.


THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the parties have not established good cause for any additional relief requested in their respective motions for reconsideration, aside from certification to the Arizona Supreme Court.

On good cause, and in the Court’s discretion,

IT IS ORDERED any party desiring to respond specifically to the Court’s proposed amendments outlined above must file its response by April 23, 2026. The Court will not hear arguments regarding other issues raised in the motions for reconsideration.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED granting the parties’ request that that this Court certify the ruling to the Arizona Supreme Court once the Court considers and rules upon any responses filed pursuant to this order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED denying any additional relief requested in the motions for reconsideration.



The Honorable Scott Blaney
Maricopa County Superior Court

1 On April 10, 2026, the original of the foregoing was
2 delivered to the Clerk of the Maricopa County Superior
3 Court for filing and distributing a copy to all persons
4 listed on the Court-approved mailing list for this contested
case.



5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28