IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

No. W1,W2,W3 & W4 Contested Case No. W1-11-003248

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for the San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form, or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992.

This objection is directed to Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. 114-04-DCB	-001	or Catalogued Well No.
(please inser	t no.)	(please insert no.)
OBJECTO	R INFORMATION	11
Objector's Name: Sal	t River Project	
Objector's Address: Pos	t Office Box 52025	and the second s
Pho	enix, Arizona 85072	2-2025
objector's Telebuone No: (60	2) 236-2210	Section 200
Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report River Watershed):	t No. (If the Objector's claimed	d water rights are within the San Pedro
	_	
Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the Objector'	's claimed water rights appear o	only in Volume 8 of the HSR):
Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Object	or's claimed water rights are l	ocated outside the San Pedro Watershed
33 07 <u>01040,</u> 0104.	I, UIZUG, UIZU/. N1	.998
39-05 <u>50053</u> , 50054	4, 50055	
39-L8 <u>35212, 3521</u> 3	3	
STATE OF <u>Arizona</u>		
	RIFICATION (must be comp	loted by objective
COUNTY OF <u>Maricopa</u>	the second	tered by objector)
	I declare under penalty of pe	erjury that I am a claimant in this
hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if	proceeding or the duty-author	Clied representative of a claimant.
required, copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and	unat I have read the contents	S Of this Objection (both
correct copies thereof on the 14th day of May 1002	and that the information cont	and know the contents thereof; tained in the Objection is true
postage prepaid and addressed as follows:	Dased on by own personal know	dedge except those mentions
lame: H & E LAND AND CATTLE CO.	of the Objection which are in	ndicated as being known to me
	on information and belief and I believe them to be true.	d, as to those portions,
ddress: PAYSON STAR ROUTE, BOX 47		
GLOBE, AZ 85501	David C	Clet
	Signature of Objector or Obje	ector's Representative
The above section must be completed if you object	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to befo	
O another claimant's Watershed File Report Zone 2	May, 1992.	ore me this ist day of
ell Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not eed to be completed if you file an objection to your	Chada (DERROLLAN
Wh Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report	Notary Public for the State	Dell' I Par
atalogued Well Report, or to information contained		
n Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)	Residing at Maricopa Count	
	My commission expires	LINDA JEPPERSON Notary Public - State of Arizona

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix Az 85009, on or before May 18, 1992.

E

PAGE: 2

H & E LAND AND CATTLE CO.

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

- [] 1. I object to the description of LAND OWNERSHIP
- [] 2. I object to the description of APPLICABLE FILINGS AND DECREES
- [] 3. I object to the description of DWR's ANALYSIS OF FILINGS AND DECREES
- [] 4. I object to the description of the DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 5. I object to the description of the USES for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 6. I object to the description of RESERVOIRS used for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 7. I object to the description of SHARED USES & DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 8. I object to the PWR (POTENTIAL WATER RIGHT) SUMMARY of the claimed water right(s)
- [X] 9. I object to the description of the QUANTITIES OF USE for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 10. I object to the EXPLANATION provided for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 11. Other Objections (please state volume number, page number and line number for each objection)

REASON FOR OBJECTION

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

CATEGORY NUMBER	
	SEE ATTACHMENT 1
	In this attachment the uniform code designated by the
	Special Master in accordance with Case Management
	Order No. 1 is shown in parenthesis following each
	objection statement.

ATTACHMENT 1

WFR CATEGORY 9 - QUANTITIES OF USE

The Salt River Project objects to the quantities of use assigned to this Potential Water Right (PWR). The maximum observed and regional methods used by DWR for determining quantities of use for certain agricultural irrigation PWRs are inconsistent with the Arizona doctrine of prior appropriation; these methods are also technically inaccurate. The maximum potential method used by DWR for determining quantities of use is consistent with Arizona law; however, several technical corrections are necessary. For an additional discussion of the problems associated with DWR's methods of quantification for this type of PWR, see the Salt River Project's Volume 1 objections to these methods, a copy of which is attached to this objection and incorporated herein by reference (1020). This objection applies to: IR001, IR002 and IR003.

* * * *

The Salt River Project objects to the failure of DWR to calculate a diversion rate for this Potential Water Right (PWR). All PWRs assigned a point or points of diversion should be assigned a separate diversion rate for each point of diversion. Diversion rates should be calculated at the point of diversion and should include conveyance losses (1010). This objection applies to: IR001, IR002 and IR003.

EXCERPT FROM SALT RIVER PROJECT OBJECTIONS TO VOLUME 1 OF THE SAN PEDRO RIVER HSR

IRRIGATION QUANTITY ESTIMATES

(page numbers refer to Volume 1)

INTRODUCTION

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimation methods and results for irrigation water quantities for the following reasons:

First, the Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimation of water duty under both the "maximum observed" and "regional" methods. In the absence of decreed rights, which must be accepted by the court in the absence of abandonment, Arizona law requires that the extent of an appropriative right be measured according to the quantity of water that the appropriator diverted for beneficial use since the time of the appropriation. A.R.S. § 45-141(B) ("Beneficial use shall be the basis, measure and limit to the use of water"). Neither the "maximum observed" or "regional" quantification methods employed by DWR properly estimate maximum actual historical beneficial use as required by law.

The Salt River Project supports DWR's estimation of water duty using the "maximum potential" method since, in the absence of sufficient historical records, this method properly estimates maximum actual historical beneficial use.

Second, DWR's method to compute maximum observed water duty does not accurately estimate maximum actual historical beneficial use since it incorporates inaccurate crop irrigation requirements, deficit irrigation, five years or less of crop history, or overly high efficiency estimates.

Third, although DWR has developed new terminology in reporting regional water duties, DWR still uses the Arizona Groundwater Code method of "areas of similar farming conditions" (ASFC), now termed "regional farming conditions" (RFC). The RFC method assigns a weighted average consumptive use requirement to the water duty equation based upon the types of crops recently grown by appropriators in a designated area. Historical information or records evincing an individual claimant's actual cropping patterns and the quantities of water actually used to cultivate such crops since the time of appropriation are not considered. In fact, the Court noted that "[average efficient use] is not directly related to what is the property's water right[s] . . . " (Entitlement Order at 6). Under the prior appropriation doctrine, an appropriator who has grown alfalfa on his property historically is entitled to a water duty that will support alfalfa, regardless of the crops that he or his neighbors are currently growing. Under DWR's "averaging" approach, an appropriator in this situation would be assigned an apparent entitlement inadequate to meet crop needs.

Additionally, under the RFC concept, the efficiency of various irrigation methods is averaged among appropriators, thus further exacerbating the inadequate water duty for the appropriator who does not have a system with above-average efficiency.

Fourth, there are a number of technical errors in DWR's calculation of crop consumptive use including the use of a five year crop history, adjusted weather data, relative humidity, growing season, effective precipitation, crop coefficients, alfalfa stand establishment, deficit irrigation, and efficiency estimates.

Five Year Crop History

pp. 146-151, C-18, C-19, C-68 through C-78

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of its five year investigation period for computing acreages irrigated for maximum observed quantification and for computing crop irrigation water requirements for both maximum observed and regional quantifications. Indeed, it appears that DWR has relied heavily on a single year (1990) of crop survey data. The information developed from a single year, or five year period, cannot be used to properly estimate actual historical beneficial use since low consumptive use crops or no crop may be present during the period. Thus, historical cropping practices or completion of a crop rotation are not reflected.

Adjusted Weather Data pp. C-6 through C-19

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's adjustment of weather station temperatures from recorded values and relative humidities from estimated values. The temperature and relative humidity adjustment procedure is intended for prediction of crop water requirements for large, new irrigation developments where the current observations are from a nearby non-irrigated area. Because of the "clothesline" configuration of San Pedro irrigated areas in relation to the extremely arid surrounding environment, it is extremely doubtful there is any moderating effect due to surrounding irrigated land or to the San Pedro River.

Relative Humidity

pp. C-9, C-17, C-25, C-29, C-34, C-92

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to specify whether it used minimum relative humidity as specified in Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) Paper 24. Minimum relative humidity is not reported by Sellers and Hill. Furthermore, their 6 p.m. (1800 hours) data must be adjusted downward to reflect lower humidity in midafternoon. The proper publication date for Arizona Climate, 1931-1972, by Sellers and Hill, is 1974.

Growing Season pp. C-20, C-24

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of field observations during one or just a few years to estimate the length of growing season for perennial crops. A few field observations of irrigation dates do not define the water use period because water use occurs both before and after irrigation and because growing seasons vary from year to year. Growing seasons can best be determined for perennial crops by a relationship between plant growth and mean temperature or mean date of low temperatures over an extended period of record.

Effective Precipitation pp. C-38, C-40 through C-49

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's method of estimating non-growing season effective precipitation. The procedure used neglects runoff, uses soil constant values that are highly variable and not well quantified, and is unclear about assumptions of initial soil moisture conditions for each month. Published methods can be used to estimate non-growing season effective precipitation for the winter months, the relevant period for most crops. Furthermore, the Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of a 50 percent probability of precipitation, which results in an inadequate supply in one-half of the years. A 50 percent probability indicates that average effective precipitation is subtracted from crop consumptive use when DWR calculates the irrigation requirement. This means that in years of below-average precipitation, irrigation users would be unable to replace the lack of precipitation with additional irrigation water. The amount of precipitation that is available 80 percent of the time for field crops and 90 percent of the time for orchards and vegetables is appropriate.

Crop Coefficients p. C-33

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of 0.8 as the kc for Winter Pasture. Winter Pasture is a cool-season grass mixture that has a higher crop coefficient than a warm-season grass. SRP also objects to DWR's use of the mean of kc1 and kc3 as a value for kc2, instead of interpolation. Both FAO-24 and University of California Leaflet 21427 specify interpolation.

Alfalfa Stand Establishment p. C-37

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to include water for alfalfa stand establishment as an "Other Need."

Deficit Irrigation

pp. C-4, C-5, C-54 through C-68

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of deficit irrigation values for the maximum observed quantification for water right entitlements. As noted above, maximum actual historical beneficial use is the proper measure of a water right entitlement, not current practice.

Efficiency Estimates

pp. 138-140, C-51 through C-54

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's omission of the effect of a rotation delivery system on On-Farm Irrigation Efficiency. A rotation delivery system reduces On-Farm Irrigation Efficiency below that which can be achieved if irrigation water is available on demand.

The Salt River Project also objects to DWR's use of average estimated values of irrigation efficiency for regional quantification. The use of average efficiencies understates entitlements for one-half of all irrigated acres on this basis alone.

IN THE S. ERIOR COURT OF THE STATE C RIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

Well Report, Catalogued Well report; or to information contained in

Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO

No. W111003248

The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

	e objection for each Watershed ed on one objection form. Object							
before May 18, 1992					,		~<	M =
This objection is dire	cted to Watershed				or Catalogued We	all No	دي	
File Report or Zone		11404DC	B 001		or Oalalogued We	an 140.		
		(please in	sert no.)		(please insert no.)		<u></u>	OF E
			OBJECTOR	INFORMA	TION		S	TO N
Objector's Name:	Gila River Indian Community C/O Cox & Cox		San Carlos Apad		Apache Tribe; Yavapai	-Apache Indian Commu	unity, Camp Ver	de Reservation
Objector's Address:	Suite 300 Luhrs Tower, P.O. I	Box 4245	7503 First Stree					
	Phoenix, AZ 85030		Scottsdale, AZ 8					
Objector's Telephone	e: (602) 254-7207		(602) 949-1988					
Objector's Watershee	d File Report or Zone 2 Well Rep	ort No. (if the C	biector's claimed	water rights an	e within the San Pedro	River Watershed):		
		orrivo. (ii the e	bjector a cidimed	water rights are	e widnin the San Fedio	raver watershed).		
Or Objector's Catalog	gued Well Number (if the Objecto	or's claimed wal	ter rights appear o	nly in Volume 8	3 of the HSR):			
Or Objector's Statem	ant of Claimant No. (#th. Ohio.	tanka atalan adam		(0 0 0			
39-11-05	nent of Claimant No. (if the Object 478 39-05-41142	39-07-126		itea outsiae the 17-12676	39-05-50058	2007-20 000-00 H200 2720/A		
39-U8-60		39-07-120 39-L8-373		J8-63614	39-07-12675	39-07-12169 39-05-50059		
STATE OF ARIZ	70NA							
STATE OF ARIZ	Lat residential	IFICATION	(must be comple	eted by objecto	r)			
COUNTY OF MA		11 10/111011	(must be comple	sted by objecto	1)			
			I dec	lare under per	iury that I am a claimar	nt in this proceeding or	the duly-author	rized
I hereby make this O	bjection. I certify that, if required,	a copy of the				ead the contents of this		
foregoing Objection v	vas served upon the following Cl	aimant(s) by		and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the				
mailing true and corre	ect copies thereof on the	day of		Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection				
	prepaid and addressed as follows			which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions,				
			l bel	ieve them to be	true.			
Name: H & E LA	ND AND CATTLE CO.		(Ilhe	led Ora		Par (Soul
Address: 9 DELAW	/ARE CORP PAYSON STAR R	OUTE BOX 47		7	7. 09		a E	2
Addition of Deep (V)	THE GOTH I, I MIGORGIANT	OUTE BOX 47	Sign	ature of Object	or or Objector's Repres	contativo		
GLOBE A	7 85501		Sigir	ature of Object	or or Objector's Repres	sentative		
			SUB	SCRIBED AND	SWORN to before me	this day of		
				1992.	O :	tine day or		
(The above section m	nust be completed if you object to	another		(men K R	Stul		
	File Report, Zone 2 Well Report		Nota	ry Public for the	e State of Arizona	,		
	ort. It does not need to be compl			V				
you file an objection to	u file an objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2							

Notary Public - State of Anzona MARICOPA COUNTY

My Comm. Expires Jan. 5, 1994

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the body	Well Reports and some Watershed Fil	Reports look contain and	-
category(ies) to which you object, and stale the reason for the objection on the back	k of this form.	e reports lack сепаin categories).	Please check th
- 1. I object to the description of Land Ownership	Salary of the salary	y and the extend the control of the	
X 2. I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees			
- 3. I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees			
X 4. I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s)			
- 5. I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s)	OWN R C		
- 6. I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)		The St. The	
- 7. I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water	r right(s)		
- 8. I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water	right(s)		
X 9. I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)		¥	
- 10. I object to the Explanation provided for the unclaimed water right(s)			
 11. Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection) 	on)		
REASON F	OR OBJECTION		/
The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspon as necessary. The following objection(s) are based upon information and belief:	nd to the boxes checked above; please a	attached supporting information and	additional pages
CATEGORY NUMBER			
The use of the water claimed depletes water for senior federal and Indian	ı water rights (1150).		
2 HSR does not show a well registration filing (420).			
9 HSR does not show a claimed water use rale (1000).			
		. ~ 4	

		4 9 May	
			**
		(70)	
		4.6	

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

Contested Case File: W111003248

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form, or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992. Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix, AZ 85009.

This objection is directed to Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No.

114-04-DCB-001

or Catalogued Well No.

(please insert no.)

(please insert no.)

OBJECTOR INFORMATION

Objector's Name: United States of America Co-Objector's Name:

Gila River Indian Community

c/o Cox & Cox

Co-Objector's Name:

San Carlos Apache Tribe, Tonto

Apache Tribe; Yavapai-Apache Indian

Community; Camp Verde Reservation

c/o Sparks & Siler, P.C.

Objector's Address:

601 Pennsylvania Ave.

Washington, D.C. 20004

Objector's Telephone No.:

(202) 272-4059 / 272-6978

Co-Objector's Address:

Suite 300 Luhrs Tower

Phoenix, AZ 85003 Co-Objector's Telephone No.:

(602) 254-7207

Co-Objector's Address: 7503 First Street

Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Co-Objector's Telephone No.:

(602) 949-1998

Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are within the San Pedro River Watershed):

111-19-009

Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the Objector's claimed water rights appear only in Volume 8 of the HSR):

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the San Pedro River Watershed):

39-11-05478

39-05-41142

39-07-12652

39-07-12676

39-05-50058

39-07-12169

39-U8-60083

39-L8-36340

39-L8-37360

39-U8-63614

39-07-12675

39-05-50059

STATE OF ARIZONA

COUNTY OF MARICOPA

I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 18th day of May, 1992, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

114-04-DCB-001

H & E LAND AND CATTLE CO. 9 DELAWARE CORPORATION

Name:

Address: PAYSON STAR ROUTE, BOX 47

GLOBE AZ 85501

(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well Report, or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

OFFICIAL SEAL PAMELA L. SPARKS Notary Public - State of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY My Comm. Expires Aug. 25, 1995

VERIFICATION (must be completed by objector)

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof: and that the information contained in the Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I believe them to be true.

Signature of Objector or Objector's Representative

Signature of Co-Objector or Co-Objector's Representative

____ day of May, 1992. AND SWORN to before me this



WFR No.: 114-04-DCB-001 Contested Case File: W111003248

Page 2

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

I object to the description of Land Ownership. [XX] 2 I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees. [XX] 3. I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees. 4. I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s). [] 5. I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s). [] I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s). 6. [] 7. I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s). [XX] 8. I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s). [XX] 9. I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s). [] 10. I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s).] 11. Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection).

REASON FOR OBJECTION

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

2. The claimant and/or ADWR fail(s) to associate this claim with a pre-adjudication water filing as required by Arizona statute. (SM 420)

The available historical record does not support the priority date listed in the adjudication filings. (SM 478) (IR001)

Adjudication filings associated with this WFR contain inaccurate or incomplete information. (SM 478)

The amount claimed, as described by ADWR, exceeds a reasonable amount required for beneficial use. (SM 478)

The use of water listed under this Watershed File Report is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal reserved rights and is contrary to state and federal law. (SM 560)

One or more of the filings or pre-filings as reported in this WFR is missing a place of use legal description. (SM 720) (3900059910000; 3900059940000)

One or more of the POU legal descriptions listed in the WFR is too general. (SM 720) (3900059900000; 3900059920000; 3900059930000; 3900059950000; IRO01)

The claimant associated with this Watershed File Report has expanded that claimed volume without providing documentation to support such expansion. (SM 750)

- 3. Adjudication filings associated with this WFR contain inaccurate or incomplete information. (SM 478)
- 8. The claimant and/or ADWR fail(s) to associate this claim with a pre-adjudication water filing as required by Arizona statute. (SM 420)

WFR No.: 114-04-DCB-001 Contested Case File: W111003248

Page 3

The use of water listed under this Watershed File Report is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal reserved rights and is contrary to state and federal law. (SM 560)

The legal description for the point of diversion listed by ADWR is not fully supported by the applicable filings listed. (SM 623) (W02; W03; W04)

One or more of the POU legal descriptions listed in the WFR is too general. (SM 720) (3900059900000; 3900059920000; 3900059930000; 3900059950000; IR001)

The maximum observed volume is less than both the regional and claimed volume of use for this PWR. A claimant is not entitled to more water than has been put to beneficial use. (SM 1000)

The regional volume of use is less than both the claimed and maximum observed volume of use. This indicates that the water is being used inefficiently. The claimant is not entitled to the water that will be wasted. (SM 1000)

9. The claimant associated with this Watershed File Report has expanded that claimed volume without providing documentation to support such expansion. (SM 750)

The maximum observed volume is less than both the regional and claimed volume of use for this PWR. A claimant is not entitled to more water than has been put to beneficial use. (SM 1000)

The regional volume of use is less than both the claimed and maximum observed volume of use. This indicates that the water is being used inefficiently. The claimant is not entitled to the water that will be wasted. (SM 1000)

The regional acreage is greater than the maximum observed acreage. The maximum observed acreage should be used to calculate the regional volume of use. (SM 1010)

ADWR uses a methodology that over-estimates crop water requirements. (SM 1020)

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE C. ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO

No. W111003248

The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

This objection is directed to Watershed	or Catalogued Well No.	3
before May 18, 1992.		92
the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form, or a co	omputer facsimile, is required. Objections mus	st be received on or
Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogue	ed Well Report. Objections to information cont	ained in Volume 1 of

OBJECTOR INFORMATION

Objector's Name:

Objector's Address:

Gila River Indian Community

C/O Cox & Cox

San Carlos Apache Tribe; Tonto Apache Tribe; Yavapai-Apache Indian Community, Camp Verde Re C/O Sparks & Siler, P.C.

Suite 300 Luhrs Tower, P.O. Box 4245

Phoenix, AZ 85030

7503 First Street Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Objector's Telephone: (602) 254-7207

File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No.

(602) 949-1988

Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are within the San Pedro River Watershed):

11404DCB 001 (please insert no.)

Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the Objector's claimed water rights appear only in Volume 8 of the HSR):

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the San Pedro River Watershed):

39-11-05478 🗸

39-05-41142

39-07-12652

39-07-12676

39-05-50058

(please insert no.)

39-07-12169

39-U8-60083

39-L8-36340

39-L8-37360

39-U8-63614

39-07-12675

39-05-50059

STATE OF ARIZONA

VERIFICATION (must be completed by objector)

COUNTY OF MARICOPA

I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the ______ day of May, 1992, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Name:

H & E LAND AND CATTLE CO.

Address:

9 DELAWARE CORP., PAYSON STAR ROUTE BOX 47

GLOBE AZ 85501

(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your **own** Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well report; or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

I declare under perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I believe them to be true.

Signature of Objector or Objector's Representative

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this _____ day of

May 1992.

Notary Public for the State of Arizona

JAMES ROBERT RITTERHOUSE Notan, Public - State of Arizona (*ARICOPA COUNTY My Comin Express Jan 5, 1994

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack cerealegory(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.	rtain categories). Ple	ase check the
- 1. I object to the description of Land Ownership		
X 2. I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees		
- 3. Tobject to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees		
X 4. I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s)	e me . S	
- 5. I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s)		, agia a
- 6. I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)		
- 7. I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)		
- 8. I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)		
X 9. I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)		
- 10. I object to the Explanation provided for the unclaimed water right(s)		
Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection)		
REASON FOR OBJECTION		
The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attached support as necessary. The following objection(s) are based upon information and belief:	rting information and	additional page
CATEGORY		
The use of the water claimed depletes water for senior federal and Indian water rights (1150).		
2 HSR does not show a well registration filing (420).		. 3
2 HSR does not show a claimed water use rate (1000).	MC or	
This well takes water directly from the flow of the river under state standards (500) (532) (1132) (1137).	*** *** ****	***
9 HSR does not show the apparent annual volume of water used (1000).	~~ 4	

IN THY PERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF A ONA AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOP.

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

(602) 575-5600

No. W1,W2,W3 & W4

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form, or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992.

This objection is directed to Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No 114 - 04 - DCB - 001 (please insert no.)		or Catalogued Well No.	13	
			(please insert no.)	Arthrops.
OBJECTOR INFORMATION				acceptant a
Objector's Name: Objector's Address:	7400 No Suite 20	Copper Company (1267) orth Oracle Rd 00 Arizona 85704	ASARCO Incorporated (1263) P.O. Box 8 Hayden, Arizona 85235	

* The names, addresses and telephone numbers of Objectors' attorneys are on the back of this form.

Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are within the San Pedro River Watershed):

Magma Copper Company: 113-08-XXXX-022, et al. ASARCO Incorporated: 114-01-XXXX-005, et al.

Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the Objector's claimed water rights appear only in Volume 8 of the HSR):

NOT APPLICABLE

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the San Pedro River Watershed): 39 - NOT APPLICABLE

STATE OF ARIZONA

Objector's Telephone No.:

VERIFICATION

(must be completed by objector)

(602) 356-7811

COUNTY OF MARICOPA

I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, a copy of the forgoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 11th day of

May , 199 2 , postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Name H & E LAND AND CATTLE CO. and 9 DELAWARE CORPORATION

Address PAYSON STAR ROUTE, BOX 47

GLOBE, AZ 85501

(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well Report; or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report)

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I believe them to be true.

Signature of Objector's Representative (Magma)

Signature of Objector's Representative (ASARCO) SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 11th day

of <u>May</u> 199<u>2</u>.

Marianue Duneau Shippee

MARIANNE DUNCAN SHIPPEE
Actary Public - State of Arizona
MARICOPA COUNTY
AMARICOPA COUNTY

Paricopa Counté Courthouse Atribés

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County C

The f	ollo gorie	wing es). I	are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.
		1.	I object to the description of Land Ownership
		2.	I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees
		3.	I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees
XX		4.	I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
		5.	I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s)
		6.	I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)
		7.	I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
XX		8.	object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)
		9.	I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)
		10.	I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s)
XX		11.	

REASON FOR OBJECTION

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

Category Number: 4, 8 and 11

Magma Copper Company ("Magma") and ASARCO Incorporated ("ASARCO") submit this objection as co-objectors.

Magma and ASARCO object to the inclusion of groundwater in this Adjudication because groundwater is not subject to claims based on federal law (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 561, 562 and 1134). In addition, this objection is intended to preserve this issue until such time as it is resolved by the Arizona Supreme Court. (Uniform Objection Code No. 1130)

While this objection pertains to a specific Zone 2 Well Report number ("Zone 2 Report"), Magma and ASARCO are objecting to each Zone 2 Report that classifies a well as a "Zone 2 Well", that extends federal reserved rights to groundwater pumped from the Zone 2 Well(s), or that otherwise creates a presumption that groundwater withdrawals from the well(s) significantly affect federal reserved rights.

With respect to this particular Zone 2 Report, Magma and ASARCO presently believe that groundwater withdrawn from the subject well(s) does not significantly diminish water otherwise available to a federal reservation and therefore is not subject to the Gila Adjudication. However, should it be determined that groundwater withdrawn from the well(s) does significantly diminish water otherwise available to a federal reservation, Magma and ASARCO object to such use where such groundwater withdrawal interferes with paramount water rights of Magma or ASARCO. (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 1135, 1136 and 1150)

Magma and ASARCO are also filing this objection to obtain notice and an opportunity to be heard on all issues in the event that claims to the groundwater referenced in claimant's Zone 2 Report are adjudicated.

Attorneys for Magma:

Robert B. Hoffman (004415) Carlos D. Ronstadt (006468) Jeffrey W. Crockett (012672) SNELL & WILMER One Arizona Center Phoenix, Arizona 85004-0001 (602) 382 - 6000 Attorneys for ASARCO:

Burton M. Apker (001258) Gerrie Apker Kurtz (005637) APKER, APKER, HAGGARD & KURTZ, P.C. 2111 E. Highland, Suite 230 P.O. Box 10280 Phoenix, Arizona 85064-0280 (602) 381 - 0085

IN TH UPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

No. W1, W2, W3 & W4 W1-11-003248

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form, or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992.

This objection is directed to Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No

<u>114</u> - <u>04</u> - <u>DCB</u> - <u>001</u>

or Catalogued Well No.

(please insert no.)

(please insert no.)

OBJECTOR INFORMATION

Objector's Name:

Magma Copper Company (1267)

ASARCO Incorporated (1263)

Objector's Address:

7400 North Oracle Rd

P.O. Box 8

Hayden, Arizona 85235

Suite 200 Tucson, Arizona 85704

(602) 356-7811

Objector's Telephone No.:

(602) 575-5600

* The names, addresses and telephone numbers of Objectors' attorneys are on the back of this form.

Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are within the San Pedro River Watershed)

Magma Copper Company: 113-08-XXXX-022, et al. ASARCO Incorporated: 114-01-XXXX-005, et al. /

Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the Objector's claimed water rights appear only in Volume 8 of the HSR):

NOT APPLICABLE

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the San Pedro River Watershed):

39 - NOT APPLICABLE

STATE OF _ARIZONA

VERIFICATION

(must be completed by objector)

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a

claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection

(both sides and any attachments) and know the contents

thereof; and that the information contained in the Objection

is true based on my own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being

known to me on information and belief and, as to those

COUNTY OF MARICOPA

I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, a copy of the forgoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 11th day of

, 199 2 , postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Name and

H & E LAND AND CATTLE CO. 9 DELAWARE CORPORATION

Address PAYSON STAR ROUTE, BOX 47

GLOBE, AZ 85501

Signature of Objector's Representative

portions, Liberieve them to be true

Signature of Objector's Representative (ASARCO) SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this

May ananue

> OFFICIAL SEAL MARIANNE DUNCAN SHIPPEE Notary Public - State of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY My Comm. Expires July 17, 1994

(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well Report; or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report)

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.				
	1.	I object to the description of Land Ownership		
	2.	I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees		
	3.	I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees		
X	4.	I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s)		
	5.	I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s)		
	6.	I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)		
	7.	I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)		
M x	8.	I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)		
	9.	I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)		
	10.	I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s)		
XX	11.			
Market Company of the				

REASON FOR OBJECTION

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

Category Number: 4, 8 and 11

Magma Copper Company ("Magma") and ASARCO Incorporated ("ASARCO") submit this objection as co-objectors.

Magma and ASARCO object to the inclusion of groundwater in this Adjudication because groundwater is neither appropriable under Arizona law (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 500, 510, 1120 and 1132), nor is it subject to claims based on federal law (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 561, 562, 1120 and 1134). In addition, this objection is intended to preserve these issues until such time as each is resolved by the Arizona Supreme Court. (Uniform Objection Code No. 1130)

While this objection pertains to a specific Watershed File Report ("WFR"), Magma and ASARCO are objecting to each WFR that classifies a well as a "Zone 1 Well" or otherwise employs the "50% - 90 day standard" to create a presumption of a well's diversion of appropriable surface water.

With respect to this particular WFR, Magma and ASARCO presently believe that the subject well(s) is/are taking nonappropriable groundwater not subject to the Gila Adjudication. However, should it be determined that the well(s) is/are taking appropriable surface water, Magma and ASARCO object to such use where such taking is a diversion of surface water without an appropriative right under state law and/or is interfering with the water rights of Magma or ASARCO. (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 600, 610 and 1150)

Magma and ASARCO are also filing this objection to obtain notice and an opportunity to be heard on all issues in the event that claims to the groundwater referenced in claimant's WFR are adjudicated.

Attorneys for Magma:

Robert B. Hoffman (004415) Carlos D. Ronstadt (006468) Jeffrey W. Crockett (012672) SNELL & WILMER One Arizona Center Phoenix, Arizona 85004-0001 (602) 382 - 6000 Attorneys for ASARCO:

Burton M. Apker (001258) Gerrie Apker Kurtz (005637) APKER, APKER, HAGGARD & KURTZ, P.C. 2111 E. Highland, Suite 230 P.O. Box 10280 Phoenix, Arizona 85064-0280 (602) 381 - 0085