IN TE JPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF. ZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

No. W1,W2,W3 & W4 W1-11-003322

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form, or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992.

This objection is directed to Watershed

File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No

115 - 04 - ADC - 003

or Catalogued Well No.

(please insert no.)

(please insert no.)

P.O. Box 8

OBJECTOR INFORMATION

Objector's Name: Objector's Address:

Objector's Telephone No.:

Magma Copper Company (1267)

7400 North Oracle Rd

Suite 200

Tucson, Arizona 85704

(602) 575-5600 (602) 356-7811

* The names, addresses and telephone numbers of Objectors' attorneys are on the back of this form.

ASARCO Incorporated (1263)

Hayden, Arizona 85235

Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are within the San Pedro River Watershed):

Magma Copper Company: 113-08-XXXX-022, et al. ASARCO Incorporated: 114-01-XXXX-005, et al.

Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the Objector's claimed water rights appear only in Volume 8 of the HSR):

NOT APPLICABLE

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the San Pedro River Watershed):

39 - NOT APPLICABLE

STATE OF ARIZONA

VERIFICATION

(must be completed by objector)

COUNTY OF MARICOPA

I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, a copy of the forgoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 11th day of

May___, 199_2_, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Name

OUILLETTE, DOROTHY

AC STAR ROUTE BOX 4241

Address WINKELMAN, AZ 85292

(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well Report; or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report)

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I believe them to be true.

Signature of Objector's Representative (ASARCO)

Carranue

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 11th day May

> OFFICIAL SEAL MARIANNE DUNCAN SHIPPEE Notary Public - State of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY My Comm. Expires July 17, 1994

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain

catego	ories).	Ple	ease check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.	
	1		I object to the description of Land Ownership	4
	2	2.	I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees	¥
	3	3.	I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees	
X X	4	l.	I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s)	
	5	5.	I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s)	
	6	S .	I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)	
	7	7 .	I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)	
X X	8	3.	I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)	
	9	€.	I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)	
	1	10.	I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s)	
XX	_ 1	11.	Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection)	

REASON FOR OBJECTION

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

Category Number: 4, 8 and 11

Magma Copper Company ("Magma") and ASARCO Incorporated ("ASARCO") submit this objection as co-objectors.

Magma and ASARCO object to the inclusion of groundwater in this Adjudication because groundwater is neither appropriable under Arizona law (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 500, 510, 1120 and 1132), nor is it subject to claims based on federal law (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 561, 562, 1120 and 1134). In addition, this objection is intended to preserve these issues until such time as each is resolved by the Arizona Supreme Court. (Uniform Objection Code No. 1130)

While this objection pertains to a specific Watershed File Report ("WFR"), Magma and ASARCO are objecting to each WFR that classifies a well as a "Zone 1 Well" or otherwise employs the "50% - 90 day standard" to create a presumption of a well's diversion of appropriable surface water.

With respect to this particular WFR, Magma and ASARCO presently believe that the subject well(s) is/are taking nonappropriable groundwater not subject to the Gila Adjudication. However, should it be determined that the well(s) is/are taking appropriable surface water, Magma and ASARCO object to such use where such taking is a diversion of surface water without an appropriative right under state law and/or is interfering with the water rights of Magma or ASARCO. (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 600, 610 and 1150)

Magma and ASARCO are also filing this objection to obtain notice and an opportunity to be heard on all issues in the event that claims to the groundwater referenced in claimant's WFR are adjudicated.

Attorneys for Magma:

Robert B. Hoffman (004415) Carlos D. Ronstadt (006468) Jeffrey W. Crockett (012672) SNELL & WILMER One Arizona Center Phoenix, Arizona 85004-0001 (602) 382 - 6000 Attorneys for ASARCO:

Burton M. Apker (001258) Gerrie Apker Kurtz (005637) APKER, APKER, HAGGARD & KURTZ, P.C. 2111 E. Highland, Suite 230 P.O. Box 10280 Phoenix, Arizona 85064-0280 (602) 381 - 0085

IN THE SAFERIOR COURT OF THE STATE CARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

Well Report, Catalogued Well report; or to information contained in

Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO

No. W111003322

The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

before May 18, 1992.						K	Q
This objection is direc	eted to Watershed			or Catalogued We	ll No.	and the same of th	2
ile Report or Zone 2	Well Report No.	11504ADC 003				alpacent place	D IT
		(please insert no)	(please insert no.)		w	园 8
		OBJE	CTOR INFORMA	TION		Control of the Contro	O. S.
Objector's Name:	Gila River Indian Community	SanC	Carlos Apache Tribe;Tonto	Apache Tribe: Yavapai	-Apache Indian Com	munity. Cami	o Verde Reser
bjector s riame.	C/O Cox & Cox		Sparks & Siler, P.C.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, , ,	grinnin	
bjector's Address:	Suite 300 Luhrs Tower, P.O. E		First Street				
Sjootol & Addicas.	Phoenix, AZ 85030		sdale, AZ 85251				
bjector's Telephone			949-1988				
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	d File Report or Zone 2 Well Rep		•				
r Objector's Statem	ent of Claimant No. (if the Object	tor's claimed water rigl	nts are located outside th	e San Pedro River Wal	ershed):		
39-11-054	39-05-41142	39-07-12652	39-07-12676	39-05-50058	39-07-12169		
		39-07-12652 39-L8-37360	39-07-12676 39-U8-63614	39-05-50058 39-07-12675	39-07-12169 39-05-50059	/	
39-11-05- 39-U8-60	083 39-L8-36340					/	
39-11-05- 39-U8-60 STATE OF ARIZ	083 39-L8-36340 ZONA VER	39-L8-37360		39-07-12675		/	
39-11-05- 39-U8-60 TATE OF ARIZ	083 39-L8-36340 ZONA VER	39-L8-37360	39-U8-63614	39-07-12675 or)	39-05-50059	on the duly o	uthorized
39-11-05- 39-08-60 TATE OF ARIZ	20NA VER	39-L8-37360 	39-U8-63614 be completed by objected in the state of the	39-07-12675 or) rjury that I am a claima	39-05-50059		
39-11-05- 39-U8-60 TATE OF ARIZ OUNTY OF Mo	O83 39-L8-36340 CONA VER ARICOPA bjection. I certify that, if required,	39-L8-37360 IFICATION (mus a copy of the	39-U8-63614 be completed by objected by objected by declare under perferentative of a	39-07-12675 or) rjury that I am a claima a claimant; that I have re	39-05-50059 Int in this proceeding and the contents of the co	this Objection	ı (both sides
39-11-05- 39-U8-60 TATE OF ARIZ COUNTY OF Moreoby make this Of regoing Objection was a second control of the	ONA VER ARICOPA bjection. I certify that, if required, vas served upon the following Cl	39-L8-37360 IFICATION (mus a copy of the aimant(s) by	39-U8-63614 be completed by objected by declare under performer representative of a and any attachments.	39-07-12675 or) rjury that I am a claima a claimant; that I have rents) and know the conte	39-05-50059 Int in this proceeding ead the contents of lents thereof; and tha	this Objection t the informa	n (both sides tion contained
39-11-05- 39-U8-60 TATE OF ARIZ COUNTY OF Management of the second of	ONA VER ARICOPA bjection. I certify that, if required, vas served upon the following Clect copies thereof on the	39-L8-37360 IFICATION (mus a copy of the aimant(s) by	39-U8-63614 be completed by objected I declare under perepresentative of a and any attachment objection is true be	39-07-12675 rjury that I am a claima a claimant; that I have rents) and know the conteased on my own persor	39-05-50059 Int in this proceeding ead the contents of lents thereof; and that all knowledge, excel	this Objection t the informal pt those porti	n (both sides tion contained ons of the Ob
39-11-05- 39-U8-60 STATE OF ARIZ COUNTY OF M/ hereby make this Of pregoing Objection voiding true and corre	ONA VER ARICOPA bjection. I certify that, if required, vas served upon the following Cl	39-L8-37360 IFICATION (mus a copy of the aimant(s) by	39-U8-63614 be completed by objected I declare under perepresentative of a and any attachment objection is true be	39-07-12675 rjury that I am a claima a claimant; that I have rents) and know the conteased on my own persord as being known to me	39-05-50059 Int in this proceeding ead the contents of lents thereof; and that all knowledge, excel	this Objection t the informal pt those porti	n (both sides tion contained ons of the Ob
39-11-05-39-U8-60 TATE OF ARIZ COUNTY OF M/ hereby make this Of the correction was alling true and correction, 1992, postage process.	ONA VER ARICOPA bjection. I certify that, if required, vas served upon the following Clect copies thereof on the	39-L8-37360 IFICATION (mus a copy of the aimant(s) by	39-U8-63614 I declare under perepresentative of a and any attachment Objection is true by which are indicate	39-07-12675 rjury that I am a claima a claimant; that I have rents) and know the conteased on my own persord as being known to me	39-05-50059 Int in this proceeding ead the contents of lents thereof; and that all knowledge, excel	this Objection t the informal pt those porti	n (both sides tion contained ons of the Ob
39-11-05-39-U8-60 TATE OF ARIZ COUNTY OF Monereby make this Of pregoing Objection was lailing true and correlay, 1992, postage pame: OUILLET	ONA VER ARICOPA bjection. I certify that, if required, vas served upon the following Clect copies thereof on the prepaid and addressed as follows:	39-L8-37360 IFICATION (mus a copy of the aimant(s) by	39-U8-63614 I declare under perepresentative of a and any attachment Objection is true by which are indicated by the second of	and know the contests as being known to me true.	ant in this proceeding ead the contents of tents thereof; and that all knowledge, except on information and	this Objection t the informal pt those porti	n (both sides tion contained ons of the Ob
39-11-05- 39-U8-60 TATE OF ARIZ COUNTY OF M/ nereby make this Of regoing Objection wailing true and corre ay, 1992, postage pame: OUILLET ddress: AC STAR	ZONA VER ARICOPA bjection. I certify that, if required, vas served upon the following Clect copies thereof on the prepaid and addressed as follows: TE, DOROTHY RROUTE BOX 4241	39-L8-37360 IFICATION (mus a copy of the aimant(s) by	39-U8-63614 I declare under perepresentative of a and any attachment Objection is true by which are indicated by the second of	39-07-12675 rjury that I am a claima a claimant; that I have rents) and know the conteased on my own persord as being known to me	ant in this proceeding ead the contents of tents thereof; and that all knowledge, except on information and	this Objection t the informal pt those porti	n (both sides tion contained ons of the Ob
39-11-05- 39-U8-60 STATE OF ARIZ COUNTY OF M/ hereby make this Of pregoing Objection viailing true and correlay, 1992, postage properties. Jame: OUILLET ddress: AC STAR	ONA VER ARICOPA bjection. I certify that, if required, vas served upon the following Clect copies thereof on the prepaid and addressed as follows. TE, DOROTHY	39-L8-37360 IFICATION (mus a copy of the aimant(s) by	39-U8-63614 I declare under perepresentative of a and any attachment Objection is true by which are indicated believe them to be a signature of Objection is true by the signature of O	age-07-12675 rjury that I am a claima a claimant; that I have rents) and know the conteased on my own persor d as being known to me e true.	ant in this proceeding ead the contents of the ents thereof; and that all knowledge, excele on information and essentative	this Objection t the informal pt those porti	n (both sides tion contained ons of the Ob
39-11-05- 39-U8-60 STATE OF ARIZ COUNTY OF M/ hereby make this Of pregoing Objection viailing true and corre flay, 1992, postage pilame: OUILLET	ZONA VER ARICOPA bjection. I certify that, if required, vas served upon the following Clect copies thereof on the prepaid and addressed as follows: TE, DOROTHY RROUTE BOX 4241	39-L8-37360 IFICATION (mus a copy of the aimant(s) by	39-U8-63614 I declare under perepresentative of a and any attachment Objection is true by which are indicated believe them to be Signature of Objection SUBSCRIBED AN	and know the contests as being known to me true.	ant in this proceeding ead the contents of the ents thereof; and that all knowledge, excele on information and essentative	this Objection t the informal pt those porti	n (both sides tion contained ons of the Ob
39-11-05- 39-U8-60 STATE OF ARIZ COUNTY OF My hereby make this Of pregoing Objection what in the and correctly, 1992, postage produced in the county of th	ONA VER ARICOPA bjection. I certify that, if required, was served upon the following Clect copies thereof on the prepaid and addressed as follows: TE, DOROTHY RROUTE BOX 4241 MAN AZ 85292	39-L8-37360 IFICATION (mus a copy of the aimant(s) by day of s:	39-U8-63614 I declare under perepresentative of a and any attachment Objection is true by which are indicated believe them to be a signature of Objection is true by the signature of O	age-07-12675 rjury that I am a claima a claimant; that I have rents) and know the conteased on my own persor d as being known to me e true.	ant in this proceeding ead the contents of the ents thereof; and that all knowledge, excele on information and essentative	this Objection t the informal pt those porti	n (both sides tion contained ons of the Ob
39-11-05- 39-U8-60 STATE OF ARIZ COUNTY OF M/ hereby make this Of pregoing Objection was alling true and corre flay, 1992, postage produced and corre flame: OUILLET address: AC STAR WINKELN The above section in	ZONA VER ARICOPA bjection. I certify that, if required, vas served upon the following Clect copies thereof on the prepaid and addressed as follows: TE, DOROTHY RROUTE BOX 4241 MAN AZ 85292 must be completed if you object to	39-L8-37360 IFICATION (mus a copy of the aimant(s) by day of s:	39-U8-63614 I declare under perepresentative of and any attachment Objection is true by which are indicated by the believe them to be Signature of Objection is under the believe them to be Signature of Objection is under the believe them to be Signature of Objection is under the believe them to be signature of Objection is under the believe them to be signature of Objection is under the believe them to be signature of Objection is under the believe them to be signature of Objection is under the believe them to be signature of Objection is under the believe them to be signature.	ased on my own persor das being known to me true. D SWORN to before m	ant in this proceeding ead the contents of the ents thereof; and that all knowledge, excele on information and essentative	this Objection t the informal pt those porti	n (both sides tion contained ons of the Ob
39-11-05- 39-U8-60 STATE OF ARIZ COUNTY OF M/ hereby make this Of oregoing Objection was an and corre May, 1992, postage proposed of the correlation of the correla	ONA VER ARICOPA bjection. I certify that, if required, was served upon the following Clect copies thereof on the prepaid and addressed as follows: TE, DOROTHY RROUTE BOX 4241 MAN AZ 85292	39-L8-37360 IFICATION (mus a copy of the aimant(s) by day of s:	39-U8-63614 I declare under perepresentative of and any attachment Objection is true by which are indicated by the believe them to be Signature of Objection is under the believe them to be Signature of Objection is under the believe them to be Signature of Objection is under the believe them to be signature of Objection is under the believe them to be signature of Objection is under the believe them to be signature of Objection is under the believe them to be signature of Objection is under the believe them to be signature of Objection is under the believe them to be signature.	age-07-12675 rjury that I am a claima a claimant; that I have rents) and know the conteased on my own persor d as being known to me e true.	ant in this proceeding ead the contents of the ents thereof; and that all knowledge, excele on information and essentative	this Objection t the informal pt those porti	n (both sides tion contained ons of the Ob

Notary Public - State of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY

My Comm. Expires Jan. 5, 1994

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

JAMES ROBERT RITTERHOUSE	.mnof ain	ject, and state the reason for the objection on the back of the	stegory(ies) to which you ob
File Reports lack certain calegories). Please check the	Reports and some Watershed	ategories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Wel	he following are the main co

- 1. I object to the description of Land Ownership
- X Z. I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees
- 3. I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees
- X 4. I object to the description of **Diversions** for the claimed water right(s)
- 5. I object to the description of **Uses** for the claimed water right(s)
- $\theta. \ l$ object to the description of $\mbox{\bf Reservoirs}$ used for the claimed water right(s)
- 7. I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
- 8. I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)
- X 9. I object to the description of **Quantities of Use** for the claimed water right(s)
- 10. I object to the Explanation provided for the unclaimed water right(s)
- 11. Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection)

REASON FOR OBJECTION

as necessary. The following objection(s) are based upon information and belief:

NUMBER	
CATEGORY	

- The use of the water claimed depletes water for senior federal and Indian water rights (1150).
- 2 HSR does not show a well registration filing (420).
- 9 HSR does not show a claimed water use rate (1000).

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

No. W1,W2,W3 & W4 Contested Case No. W1-11-003322

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for the San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form, or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992.

This objection is directed to Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No.	<u>115-04-ADC -003</u> lease insert no.)	or Catalogued Well No.
	OBJECTOR INFORMATION	8 0
Objector's Name: Objector's Address: Objector's Telephone No: Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 River Watershed): Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the		aimed water rights are within the San Pedro
39-05 <u>50053</u> 39-L8 <u>35212</u>	3, 50054, 50055	are located outside the San Pedro Watershed) 01998
I hereby make this Objection. I certify the required, copy of the foregoing Objection we upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing the correct copies thereof on the 14th day of Macrostage prepaid and addressed as follows: Idame: OUILLETTE, DOROTHY Address: AC STAR ROUTE BOX 4241 WINKELMAN, AZ 85292	that I have read the consider and any attachments and that the information based on by own personal of the Objection which are on information and belief I believe them to be true. Signature of Objector or	of perjury that I am a claimant in this uthorized representative of a claimant; tents of this Objection (both s) and know the contents thereof; contained in the Objection is true knowledge, except those portions re indicated as being known to me f and as to those portions
The above section must be completed if you o another claimant's Watershed File Report, ell Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It eed to be completed if you file an objectio wn Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report atalogued Well Report, or to information con Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Repor	object Zone 2 does not n to your t, Notary Public for the	State of Afizona Ounty OFFICIAL SEAL LINDA JEPPERSON Notary Public - State of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY
		My Comm. Expires March 24, 1995

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix Az 85009, on or before May 18, 1992.

E

Watershed File Report: 115-04-ADC -003 Vol-Tab-Pg 6-4-097

OUILLETTE, DOROTHY

PAGE: 2

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

- [] 1. I object to the description of LAND OWNERSHIP
- [] 2. I object to the description of APPLICABLE FILINGS AND DECREES
- [] 3. I object to the description of DWR's ANALYSIS OF FILINGS AND DECREES
- [] 4. I object to the description of the DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 5. I object to the description of the USES for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 6. I object to the description of RESERVOIRS used for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 7. I object to the description of SHARED USES & DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 8. I object to the PWR (POTENTIAL WATER RIGHT) SUMMARY of the claimed water right(s)
- [X] 9. I object to the description of the QUANTITIES OF USE for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 10. I object to the EXPLANATION provided for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 11. Other Objections (please state volume number, page number and line number for each objection)

REASON FOR OBJECTION

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

CATEGORY NUMBER	
	SEE ATTACHMENT 1
	In this attachment the uniform code designated by the
	Special Master in accordance with Case Management
	Order No. 1 is shown in parenthesis following each
	objection statement.

PAGE: 1

ATTACHMENT 1

WFR CATEGORY 9 - QUANTITIES OF USE

The Salt River Project objects to the quantity of use assigned to this Potential Water Right (PWR). The regional method used by DWR for determining quantity of use for certain agricultural and other irrigation PWRs is inconsistent with the Arizona doctrine of prior appropriation; this method is also technically inaccurate. For an additional discussion of the problems associated with DWR's method of quantification for these types of PWRs, see the Salt River Project's Volume 1 objections to this method, a copy of which is attached to this objection and incorporated herein by reference (1020). This objection applies

* * * *

The Salt River Project objects to the failure of DWR to calculate a diversion rate for this Potential Water Right (PWR). All PWRs assigned a point or points of diversion should be assigned a separate diversion rate for each point of diversion. Diversion rates should be calculated at the point of diversion and should include conveyance losses (1010). This objection applies to: OT001.

EXCERPT FROM SALT RIVER PROJECT OBJECTIONS TO VOLUME 1 OF THE SAN PEDRO RIVER HSR

REGIONAL IRRIGATION QUANTITY ESTIMATES

(page numbers refer to Volume 1)

INTRODUCTION

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimation methods and results for regional irrigation water quantities for the following reasons:

First, in the absence of decreed rights, which must be accepted by the court in the absence of abandonment, Arizona law requires that the extent of an appropriative right be measured according to the quantity of water that the appropriator diverted for beneficial use since the time of the appropriation. A.R.S. § 45-141(B) ("Beneficial use shall be the basis, measure and limit to the use of "water"). The "regional" quantification method employed by DWR does not properly estimate maximum actual historical beneficial use as required by law.

Second, although DWR has developed new terminology in reporting regional water duties, DWR still uses the Arizona Groundwater Code method of "areas of similar farming conditions" (ASFC), now termed "regional farming conditions" (RFC). The RFC method assigns a weighted average consumptive use requirement to the water duty equation based upon the types of crops recently grown by appropriators in a designated area. Historical information or records evincing an individual claimant's actual cropping patterns and the quantities of water actually used to cultivate such crops since the time of appropriation are not considered. In fact, the Court noted that "[average efficient use] is not directly related to what is the property's water right[s] . . . " (Entitlement Order at 6). the prior appropriation doctrine, an appropriator who has grown alfalfa on his property historically is entitled to a water duty that will support alfalfa, regardless of the crops that he or his neighbors are currently growing. Under DWR's "averaging" approach, an appropriator in this situation would be assigned an apparent entitlement inadequate to meet crop needs.

Additionally, under the RFC concept, the efficiency of various irrigation methods is averaged among appropriators, thus further exacerbating the inadequate water duty for the appropriator who does not have a system with above-average efficiency.

Third, there are several technical errors in DWR's calculation of crop consumptive use including the use of a five year crop history, adjusted weather data, relative humidity, growing season, effective precipitation, crop coefficients, alfalfa stand establishment, deficit irrigation, and efficiency estimates.

In place of regional water duties, the Salt River Project supports DWR's estimation of water duty using the "maximum potential" method since, in the absence of sufficient historical records, this method properly estimates maximum actual historical beneficial use.

These objections are more fully set forth in the following

sections.

Five Year Crop History

pp. 146-151, C-18, C-19, C-68 through C-78

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of its five year investigation period for computing acreages irrigated for maximum observed quantification and for computing crop irrigation water requirements for both maximum observed and regional quantifications. Indeed, it appears that DWR has relied heavily on a single year (1990) of crop survey data. The information developed from a single year, or five year period, cannot be used to properly estimate actual historical beneficial use since low consumptive use crops or no crop may be present during the period. Thus, historical cropping practices or completion of a crop rotation are not reflected.

Adjusted Weather Data pp. C-6 through C-19

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's adjustment of weather station temperatures from recorded values and relative humidities from estimated values. The temperature and relative humidity adjustment procedure is intended for prediction of crop water requirements for large, new irrigation developments where the current observations are from a nearby non-irrigated area. Because of the "clothesline" configuration of San Pedro irrigated areas in relation to the extremely arid surrounding environment, it is extremely doubtful there is any moderating effect due to surrounding irrigated land or to the San Pedro

Relative Humidity

pp. C-9, C-17, C-25, C-29, C-34, C-92

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to specify whether it used minimum relative humidity as specified in Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) Paper 24. Minimum relative humidity is not reported by Sellers and Hill. Furthermore, their 6 p.m. (1800 hours) data must be adjusted downward to reflect lower humidity in midafternoon. The proper publication date for Arizona Climate, 1931-1972, by Sellers and Hill, is 1974.

Growing Season pp. C-20, C-24

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of field observations during one or just a few years to estimate the length of growing season for perennial crops. A few field observations of irrigation dates do not define the water use period because water use occurs both before and after irrigation and because growing seasons vary from year to year. Growing seasons can best be determined for perennial crops by a relationship between plant growth and mean temperature or mean date of low temperatures over an extended period of record.

Effective Precipitation pp. C-38, C-40 through C-49

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's method of estimating nongrowing season effective precipitation. The procedure used neglects runoff, uses soil constant values that are highly variable and not well quantified, and is unclear about assumptions of initial soil moisture conditions for each month. Published methods can be used to estimate non-growing season effective precipitation for the winter months, the relevant period for most crops. Furthermore, the Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of a 50 percent probability of precipitation, which results in an inadequate supply in one-half of the years. A 50 percent probability indicates that <u>average</u> effective precipitation is subtracted from crop consumptive use when DWR calculates the irrigation requirement. This means that in years of below-average precipitation, irrigation users would be unable to replace the lack of precipitation with additional irrigation water. The amount of precipitation that is available 80 percent of the time for field crops and 90 percent of the time for orchards and vegetables is appropriate.

Crop Coefficients p. C-33

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of 0.8 as the kc for Winter Pasture. Winter Pasture is a cool-season grass mixture that has a higher crop coefficient than a warm-season grass. SRP also objects to DWR's use of the mean of kcl and kc3 as a value for kc2, instead of interpolation. Both FAO-24 and University of California Leaflet 21427

Alfalfa Stand Establishment p. C-37

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to include water for alfalfa stand establishment as an "Other Need."

Efficiency Estimates

pp. 138-140, C-51 through C-54

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's omission of the effect of a rotation delivery system on On-Farm Irrigation Efficiency. A rotation delivery system reduces On-Farm Irrigation Efficiency below that which can be achieved if irrigation water is available on demand.

The Salt River Project also objects to DWR's use of average estimated values of irrigation efficiency for regional quantification. The use of average efficiencies understates entitlements for one-half of all irrigated acres on this basis alone.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

Contested Case File: W111003322

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form, or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992. Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix, AZ35009.

This objection is directed to Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No.

115-04-ADC-003

or Catalogued Well No.

(please insert no.)

(please insert no.)

OBJECTOR INFORMATION

Objector's Name:

Objector's Address:

United States of America

601 Pennsylvania Ave.

Objector's Telephone No.:

Washington, D.C. 20004

(202) 272-4059 / 272-6978

Co-Objector's Name:

Gila River Indian Community

c/o Cox & Cox

Co-Objector's Name:

San Carlos Apache Tribe; Tonto

Apache Tribe; Yavapai-Apache Indian Community; Camp Verde Reservation

c/o Sparks & Siler, P.C.

Co-Objector's Address:

Suite 300 Luhrs Tower Phoenix, AZ 85003

Co-Objector's Telephone No.:

(602) 254-7207

Co-Objector's Address: 7503 First Street Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Co-Objector's Telephone No.:

(602) 949-1998

Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are within the San Pedro River Watershed):

111-19-009

Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the Objector's claimed water rights appear only in Volume 8 of the HSR):

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the San Pedro River Watershed):

39-11-05478

39-05-41142

39-07-12652

39-07-12676

39-05-50058

39-07-12169

39-U8-60083

39-L8-36340

39-L8-37360

39-U8-63614

39-07-12675

39-05-50059

STATE OF ARIZONA

COUNTY OF MARICOPA

I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 18th day of May, 1992, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

115-04-ADC-003

Name:

OUILLETTE, DOROTHY

Address:

AC STAR ROUTE BOX 4241 WINKELMAN AZ 85292

(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well Report, or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)



VERIFICATION (must be completed by objector)

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I believe them to be true,

Signature of bjector or Objector's Representative

Signature of Co-Objector or Co-Objector's Representative

Signature of Co-Objector or Co-Objector's Representative

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this ______ day of May, 1992.

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 1/2 day of N

WFR No.: 115-04-ADC-003 Contested Case File: W111003322

Page 2

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

[] 1. I object to the description of Land Ownership. [XX] I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees. [XX] 3. I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees. [] 4. I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s).] 5. I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s). 6. I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s). 1 7. I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s). [XX] I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s). [XX] 9. I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s). 1 10. I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s). Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection). [] 11.

REASON FOR OBJECTION

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

2. The individual associated with this Watershed File Report failed to file an adjudication claim as required. Therefore, the individual has no legal standing in this adjudication. (SM 475) (DM001; OT001)

The use of water listed under this Watershed File Report is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal reserved rights and is contrary to state and federal law. (SM 560)

- 3. The individual associated with this Watershed File Report failed to file an adjudication claim as required. Therefore, the individual has no legal standing in this adjudication. (SM 475) (DM001; OT001)
- 8. The individual associated with this Watershed File Report failed to file an adjudication claim as required. Therefore, the individual has no legal standing in this adjudication. (SM 475) (DM001; OT001)

The use of water listed under this Watershed File Report is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal reserved rights and is contrary to state and federal law. $(SM\ 560)$

The legal description for the place of use of a potential water right listed by ADWR is not fully supported by applicable filings. (SM 720) (DM001000; OT001000)

9. ADWR uses a methodology that over-estimates crop water requirements. (SM 1020)