IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

No. W1,W2,W3 & W4 Contested Case No. W1-11-003202

My Comm. Expires March 24, 1995

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for the San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form, or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992.

This objection is directed to Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. 114-04-BDD -(please insert		or Catalogued Well No. (please insert no.)	
OBJECTOR	RINFORMATION		
Objector's Address: Phoe Objector's Telephone No: Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report River Watershed): Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the Objector's Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector	No. (If the Objector's claimed wa _ s claimed water rights appear only	ater rights are within the solution to the solution of the HSR):	
39-L8 <u>35212, 35213</u> STATE OF <u>Arizona</u>			
	RIFICATION (must be complet	ed by objector)	
I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 14th day of May, 1992, postage prepaid and addressed as follows: Name: ASARCO, INC. Address: P.O. BOX 5747 TUCSON, AZ 85703	I declare under penalty of perj proceeding or the duly-authoriz that I have read the contents o sides and any attachments) and and that the information contai based on by own personal knowle of the Objection which are indi on information and belief and, I believe them to be true. Signature of Objector or Object	ed representative of a clain of this Objection (both know the contents thereof; ned in the Objection is trudge, except those portions cated as being known to me as to those portions,	mant;
(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well Report, or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before May, 1992. Notary Public for the State Residing at Maricopa County My commission expires	epperson	ERSON of Arizona

Watershed File Report: 114-04-BDD -030 Vol-Tab-Pg 6-2-013

ASARCO, INC.

CATEGORY

PAGE: 2

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

- [] 1. I object to the description of LAND OWNERSHIP
- [] 2. I object to the description of APPLICABLE FILINGS AND DECREES
- [] 3. I object to the description of DWR's ANALYSIS OF FILINGS AND DECREES
- [] 4. I object to the description of the DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 5. I object to the description of the USES for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 6. I object to the description of RESERVOIRS used for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 7. I object to the description of SHARED USES & DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 8. I object to the PWR (POTENTIAL WATER RIGHT) SUMMARY of the claimed water right(s)
- [X] 9. I object to the description of the QUANTITIES OF USE for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 10. I object to the EXPLANATION provided for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 11. Other Objections (please state volume number, page number and line number for each objection)

REASON FOR OBJECTION

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

NUMBER	
	SEE ATTACHMENT 1
	In this attachment the uniform code designated by the
	Special Master in accordance with Case Management
-	Order No. 1 is shown in parenthesis following each
	objection statement.

PAGE: 1

ATTACHMENT 1

WFR CATEGORY 9 - QUANTITIES OF USE

The Salt River Project objects to the quantities of use assigned to this Potential Water Right (PWR). The maximum observed and regional methods used by DWR for determining quantities of use for certain agricultural irrigation PWRs are inconsistent with the Arizona doctrine of prior appropriation; these methods are also technically inaccurate. The maximum potential method used by DWR for determining quantities of use is consistent with Arizona law; however, several technical corrections are necessary. For an additional discussion of the problems associated with DWR's methods of quantification for this type of PWR, see the Salt River Project's Volume 1 objections to these methods, a copy of which is attached to this objection and incorporated herein by reference (1020). This objection applies to: IR002.

* * * *

The Salt River Project objects to the failure of DWR to calculate a diversion rate for this Potential Water Right (PWR). All PWRs assigned a point or points of diversion should be assigned a separate diversion rate for each point of diversion. Diversion rates should be calculated at the point of diversion and should include conveyance losses (1010). This objection applies to: IR002.

EXCERPT FROM SALT RIVER PROJECT OBJECTIONS TO VOLUME 1 OF THE SAN PEDRO RIVER HSR

IRRIGATION QUANTITY ESTIMATES

(page numbers refer to Volume 1)

INTRODUCTION

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimation methods and results for irrigation water quantities for the following reasons:

First, the Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimation of water duty under both the "maximum observed" and "regional" methods. In the absence of decreed rights, which must be accepted by the court in the absence of abandonment, Arizona law requires that the extent of an appropriative right be measured according to the quantity of water that the appropriator diverted for beneficial use since the time of the appropriation. A.R.S. § 45-141(B) ("Beneficial use shall be the basis, measure and limit to the use of water"). Neither the "maximum observed" or "regional" quantification methods employed by DWR properly estimate maximum actual historical beneficial use as required by law.

The Salt River Project supports DWR's estimation of water duty using the "maximum potential" method since, in the absence of sufficient historical records, this method properly estimates maximum actual historical beneficial use.

Second, DWR's method to compute maximum observed water duty does not accurately estimate maximum actual historical beneficial use since it incorporates inaccurate crop irrigation requirements, deficit irrigation, five years or less of crop history, or overly high efficiency estimates.

Third, although DWR has developed new terminology in reporting regional water duties, DWR still uses the Arizona Groundwater Code method of "areas of similar farming conditions" (ASFC), now termed "regional farming conditions" (RFC). The RFC method assigns a weighted average consumptive use requirement to the water duty equation based upon the types of crops recently grown by appropriators in a designated area. Historical information or records evincing an individual claimant's actual cropping patterns and the quantities of water actually used to cultivate such crops since the time of appropriation are not considered. In fact, the Court noted that "[average efficient use] is not directly related to what is the property's water right[s] . . . " (Entitlement Order at 6). the prior appropriation doctrine, an appropriator who has grown alfalfa on his property historically is entitled to a water duty that will support alfalfa, regardless of the crops that he or his neighbors are currently growing. Under DWR's "averaging" approach, an appropriator in this situation would be assigned an apparent entitlement inadequate to meet crop needs.

Additionally, under the RFC concept, the efficiency of various irrigation methods is averaged among appropriators, thus further exacerbating the inadequate water duty for the appropriator who does not have a system with above-average efficiency.

Fourth, there are a number of technical errors in DWR's calculation of crop consumptive use including the use of a five year crop history, adjusted weather data, relative humidity, growing season, effective precipitation, crop coefficients, alfalfa stand establishment, deficit irrigation, and efficiency estimates.

Five Year Crop History

pp. 146-151, C-18, C-19, C-68 through C-78

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of its five year investigation period for computing acreages irrigated for maximum observed quantification and for computing crop irrigation water requirements for both maximum observed and regional quantifications. Indeed, it appears that DWR has relied heavily on a single year (1990) of crop survey data. The information developed from a single year, or five year period, cannot be used to properly estimate actual historical beneficial use since low consumptive use crops or no crop may be present during the period. Thus, historical cropping practices or completion of a crop rotation are not reflected.

Adjusted Weather Data pp. C-6 through C-19

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's adjustment of weather station temperatures from recorded values and relative humidities from estimated values. The temperature and relative humidity adjustment procedure is intended for prediction of crop water requirements for large, new irrigation developments where the current observations are from a nearby non-irrigated area. Because of the "clothesline" configuration of San Pedro irrigated areas in relation to the extremely arid surrounding environment, it is extremely doubtful there is any moderating effect due to surrounding irrigated land or to the San Pedro River.

Relative Humidity

pp. C-9, C-17, C-25, C-29, C-34, C-92

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to specify whether it used minimum relative humidity as specified in Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) Paper 24. Minimum relative humidity is not reported by Sellers and Hill. Furthermore, their 6 p.m. (1800 hours) data must be adjusted downward to reflect lower humidity in midafternoon. The proper publication date for Arizona Climate, 1931-1972, by Sellers and Hill, is 1974.

Growing Season pp. C-20, C-24

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of field observations during one or just a few years to estimate the length of growing season for perennial crops. A few field observations of irrigation dates do not define the water use period because water use occurs both before and after irrigation and because growing seasons vary from year to year. Growing seasons can best be determined for perennial crops by a relationship between plant growth and mean temperature or mean date of low temperatures over an extended period of record.

Effective Precipitation pp. C-38, C-40 through C-49

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's method of estimating nongrowing season effective precipitation. The procedure used neglects runoff, uses soil constant values that are highly variable and not well quantified, and is unclear about assumptions of initial soil moisture conditions for each month. Published methods can be used to estimate non-growing season effective precipitation for the winter months, the relevant period for most crops. Furthermore, the Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of a 50 percent probability of precipitation, which results in an inadequate supply in one-half of the years. A 50 percent probability indicates that average effective precipitation is subtracted from crop consumptive use when DWR calculates the irrigation This means that in years of below-average precipitation, requirement. irrigation users would be unable to replace the lack of precipitation with additional irrigation water. The amount of precipitation that is available 80 percent of the time for field crops and 90 percent of the time for orchards and vegetables is appropriate.

Crop Coefficients p. C-33

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of 0.8 as the kc for Winter Pasture. Winter Pasture is a cool-season grass mixture that has a higher crop coefficient than a warm-season grass. SRP also objects to DWR's use of the mean of kc1 and kc3 as a value for kc2, instead of interpolation. Both FAO-24 and University of California Leaflet 21427 specify interpolation.

Alfalfa Stand Establishment p. C-37

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to include water for alfalfa stand establishment as an "Other Need."

Deficit Irrigation

pp. C-4, C-5, C-54 through C-68

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of deficit irrigation values for the maximum observed quantification for water right entitlements. As noted above, maximum actual historical beneficial use is the proper measure of a water right entitlement, not current practice.

Efficiency Estimates

pp. 138-140, C-51 through C-54

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's omission of the effect of a rotation delivery system on On-Farm Irrigation Efficiency. A rotation delivery system reduces On-Farm Irrigation Efficiency below that which can be achieved if irrigation water is available on demand.

The Salt River Project also objects to DWR's use of average estimated values of irrigation efficiency for regional quantification. The use of average efficiencies understates entitlements for one-half of all irrigated acres on this basis alone.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO

No. W111003202

The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate	e objection fo	or each Wate r shed F	ile Report, Zon	ne 2 Well R	eport or Catalogued	Well Report. Objections to	information contained	in Volu	me 1 of	
the HSR can be state	ed on one ob	jection form. Objection	ons must be wr	ritten. Use	of this form, or a com	puter facsimile, is require	d. Objections must be	receive	d on or	
before May 18, 1992								N		85
								Lin		0 =
This objection is direct	cted to Wate	ershed				or Catalogued Well	No.	vessel.		RC =
File Report or Zone 2	2 Well Repor	t No.	11404BDI	JDD 030			ယ	7	Z. D.	
			(please in	sert no.)		(please insert no.)		Manager St.	E P	
				OBJEC [*]	TOR INFORMA	TION	iki isiodenaaniko (****)	C	O.	\$ 6
Objector's Name:	Gila Rive	r Indian Community		SanCarlo	os Apache Tribe;Tont	o Apache Tribe; Yavapai-A	Apache Indian Commun	ity, Can	ıp Verde	Reservatio
	C/O Cox	& Cox		C/O Spa	rks & Siler, P.C.					0
Objector's Address:	Suite 300	Luhrs Tower, P.O. E	3ox 4245	7503 Firs	st Street					
	Phoenix,	AZ 85030		Scottsda	le, AZ 85251					
Objector's Telephone	e: (602) 254	-7207		(602) 949	9-1988					
Objector's Watershee	d File Repor	t or Zone 2 Well Rep	ort No. (if the C	Objector's c	laimed water rights a	re within the San Pedro R	tiver Watershed):			
Or Objector's Catalog	gued Well N	umber (if the Objecto	or's claimed wa	ter rights a	ppear only in Volume	8 of the HSR):				
Or Objector's Statem	nent of Claim	nant No. (if the Object	tor's claimed w	ater rights	are located outside th	ne San Pedro River Water	rshed):			
39-11-05	478	39-05-41142	39-07-126	652	39-07-12676	39-05-50058	39-07-12169			
39-U8-60083 39-L8-36340 39-L8-3		39-L8-373	360	39-U8-63614	39-07-12675	39-05-50059				
STATE OF ARIZ	ZONA									Total Control
		VER	IFICATION	(must be	completed by object	or)				
COLINITY OF M	ARICORA	1								

COUNTY OF MARICOPA

I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the ______ day of May, 1992, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Name: ASARCO, INC.

Address: P.O. BOX 5747

TUCSON AZ 85703

(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your **own** Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well report; or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

I declare under perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I believe them to be true.

Signature of Objector or Objector's Representative

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this ______ day of

ıv 1992. 🔿 🧷 🎵

Notary Public for the State of Arizona

JAMES F Notary P MAI My Come

OFFICIAL SEAL
JAMES ROBERT RITTERHOUSE
Notary Public - State of Arizona
MARICOPA COUNTY
My Comm. Expires Jan. 5, 1994

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix, AZ 85009, on or before May 18, 1992.

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The follow	wing are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports a	nd some Watershed File Rep	orts lack certain categories). Please check the
	ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.			,
- 1. lob	ject to the description of Land Ownership		a second to second to the second	
X 2. I ol	bject to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees			
- 3. lob	ject to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees			
X 4. I ol	bject to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s)			
- 5. l ob	eject to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s)			
- 6. lob	ject to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)			
- 7. lob	ject to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)			
- 8. lob	eject to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)			
X 9. Io	bject to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)			
- 10. I ol	bject to the Explanation provided for the unclaimed water right(s)			
- 11. Oti	her Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection)			
	REASON FOR OBJECT	CTION	THE PARTY OF THE P	
	on for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes sary. The following objection(s) are based upon information and belief:		hed supporting information a	and additional pages
CATEGO				
4	The use of the water claimed depletes water for senior federal and Indian water rights (*	1150).		
2	HSR does not show a well registration filing (420).			
9	HSR does not show a claimed water use rate (1000).			
			desired.	
			-	

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

Contested Case File: W111003202

Major User No. 1263

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form, or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992. Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix, AZ 85009.

This objection is directed to Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No.

114-04-BDD-030

or Catalogued Well No.

(please insert no.)

(please insert no.)

OBJECTOR INFORMATION

Objector's Name:

United States of America

Co-Objector's Name:

Gila River Indian Community

c/o Cox & Cox

Co-Objector's Name:

San Carlos Apache Tribe; Tonto Apache Tribe; Yavapai-Apache Indian

Community; Camp Verde Reservation

c/o Sparks & Siler, P.C.

Objector's Address:

601 Pennsylvania Ave. Washington, D.C. 20004

Objector's Telephone No.:

(202) 272-4059 / 272-6978

Co-Objector's Address:

Suite 300 Luhrs Tower Phoenix, AZ 85003

Co-Objector's Telephone No.:

(602) 254-7207

Co-Objector's Address: 7503 First Street

Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Co-Objector's Telephone No.:

(602) 949-1998

Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are within the San Pedro River Watershed):

111-19-009

Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the Objector's claimed water rights appear only in Volume 8 of the HSR);

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the San Pedro River Watershed);

39-11-05478

39-05-41142

39-07-12652

39-07-12676

39-05-50058

39-07-12169

39-U8-60083

39-L8-36340

39-L8-37360

39-U8-63614

39-07-12675

39-05-50059

STATE OF ARIZONA COUNTY OF MARICOPA

I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 18th day of May, 1992, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

114-04-BDD-030

Name:

ASARCO, INC.

Address:

P.O. BOX 5747

TUCSON AZ 85703

(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well Report, or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

OFFICIAL SEAL PAMELA L. SPARKS Notary Public - State of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY My Coren. Expires Aug. 25, 1995

VERIFICATION(must be completed by objector)

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I believe them to be tru

Signature of Objector or

Signature of Co-Objector or Co-Objecto

Signature of Co-Objector or Co-Objector



WFR No.: 114-04-BDD-030 Contested Case File: W111003202 Major User No. 1263

Page 2

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

[XX]	1.	I object to the description of Land Ownership.
[XX]	2.	I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees.
[XX]	3.	I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees.
[]	4.	I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s).
[]	5.	I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s).
[]	6.	I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s).
[]	7.	I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s).
[XX]	8.	I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s).
[XX]	9.	I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s).
[]	10.	I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s).
[]	11.	Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection).

REASON FOR OBJECTION

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

- 1. There is a discrepancy between the name of owner/lessee listed by ADWR for this Watershed File Report and the name of the owner/lessee identified in the adjudication filing. (SM 320)
- 2. The claimant and/or ADWR fail(s) to associate this claim with a pre-adjudication water filing as required by Arizona statute. (SM 420)

The individual associated with this Watershed File Report failed to file an adjudication claim as required. Therefore, the individual has no legal standing in this adjudication. (SM 475)

The available historical record does not support the priority date listed in the adjudication filings. (SM 478) (IR002)

Adjudication filings associated with this WFR contain inaccurate or incomplete information. (SM 478)

The use of water listed under this Watershed File Report is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal reserved rights and is contrary to state and federal law. (SM 560)

There is no quantity amount listed for a pre-filing and/or filing under this WFR. (SM 1000) (3900048460000)

3. The individual associated with this Watershed File Report failed to file an adjudication claim as required. Therefore, the individual has no legal standing in this adjudication. (SM 475)

Adjudication filings associated with this WFR contain inaccurate or incomplete information. (SM 478)

8. The claimant and/or ADWR fail(s) to associate this claim with a pre-adjudication water filing as required by Arizona statute. (SM 420)

WFR No.: 114-04-BDD-030 Contested Case File: W111003202 Major User No. 1263

Page 3

The individual associated with this Watershed File Report failed to file an adjudication claim as required. Therefore, the individual has no legal standing in this adjudication. (SM 475)

The use of water listed under this Watershed File Report is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal reserved rights and is contrary to state and federal law. (SM 560)

The legal description for the point of diversion listed by ADWR is not fully supported by the applicable filings listed. (SM 623) (W01)

9. ADWR uses a methodology that over-estimates crop water requirements. (SM 1020)

6-2-13

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

No. W1, W2, W3 & W4

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

W1-11-003202

Please file a separate objection for **each** Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form, or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be **received** on or before May 18, 1992.

This objection is directed to File Report or Zone 2 Well I		_030_	or Catalogued Well No. (please insert no.)	92
	OBJECTOR IN	NFORMATION		
Objector's Name:	ASARCO Incorporated	(1263)		
Objector's Address:	P.O. Box 8, Hayden, A	AZ 85235		3 0
Objector's Telephone No.: e names, addre Objector's Watershed File F	(602) 356-7811 ess and telephone number Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. (if the Objecto	of Objector	's attorneys are tre within the San Pedro River Wat	on the A
	114 - 01 -	XXXX - 005	et al.	he
Or Objector's Catalogued V	Vell Number (if the Objector's claimed water righ	nts appear only in Volume	8 of the HSR):	
	39 -			
STATE OF ARIZON	IA MARINE			
	VERIFICATION		ed by objector)	
COUNTY OF Marico	pa VERIFICATIO	ON (must be complet	alty of perjury that I am a claimant in	
COUNTY OF Marico	on. I certify that, if required, a copy of the	ON (must be complet I declare under pena or the duly- authorize	alty of perjury that I am a claimant in drepresentative of a claimant; tha	it I have read the
COUNTY OF Marico I hereby make this Objectiforegoing Objection was semailing true and correct con	on. I certify that, if required, a copy of the rived upon the following Claimant(s) by one sthereof on the Lith day of	I declare under pena or the duly- authorize contents of this Object contents thereof; an	alty of perjury that I am a claimant in d representative of a claimant; tha ction (both sides and any attachmen d that the information contained in	at I have read the ats) and know the the Objection is
foregoing Objection was se	on. I certify that, if required, a copy of the	I declare under pena or the duly- authorize contents of this Objec contents thereof; an true based on my ow	alty of perjury that I am a claimant in ded representative of a claimant; tha stion (both sides and any attachmen d that the information contained in appersonal knowledge, except thos	at I have read the ats) and know the the Objection is se portions of the
I hereby make this Objectiforegoing Objection was semailing true and correct copy. May . 199_2	on. I certify that, if required, a copy of the rived upon the following Claimant(s) by pies thereof on the Lth day of postage prepaid and addressed as follows:	I declare under pens or the duly- authorize contents of this Objec contents thereof; an true based on my ow Objection which are	alty of perjury that I am a claimant in d representative of a claimant; tha ction (both sides and any attachmen d that the information contained in	at I have read the ats) and know the the Objection is se portions of the information and
I hereby make this Objectiforegoing Objection was semailing true and correct copy. May . 199 2	on. I certify that, if required, a copy of the rived upon the following Claimant(s) by one sthereof on the Lith day of	I declare under pens or the duly- authorize contents of this Objec contents thereof; an true based on my ow Objection which are	alty of perjury that I am a claimant in ded representative of a claimant; that ction (both sides and any attachmen ded that the information contained in the personal knowledge, except thos andicated as being known to me on	at I have read the ats) and know the the Objection is se portions of the information and
COUNTY OF Marico I hereby make this Objecti foregoing Objection was se mailing true and correct cor May , 199 2 Name: Simonis	on. I certify that, if required, a copy of the reved upon the following Claimant(s) by pies thereof on the Lth day of , postage prepaid and addressed as follows: Donovan Accounts	I declare under pena or the duly- authorize contents of this Object contents thereof; and true based on my own Objection which are belief and as a significant of Objection of Objection which are belief and as a significant of Objection of Objection which are belief and as a significant of Objection which are belief and as a significant of Objection which are belief and as a significant of Objection which are the objection which are the objection which are the objection of the objection which are the objection which are the objection of the obje	alty of perjury that I am a claimant in de representative of a claimant; that stion (both sides and any attachmen de that the information contained in a personal knowledge, except thos indicated as being known to me on the portions. I believe them to be true or Objector's Representative	at I have read the ats) and know the the Objection is se portions of the information and
I hereby make this Objectiforegoing Objection was se mailing true and correct copy May 199 2 Name: Simonis Address: 610 News	on. I certify that, if required, a copy of the rved upon the following Claimant(s) by pies thereof on the Lth day of postage prepaid and addressed as follows: Donovan Accounts oort Center Drive	I declare under pens or the duly- authorize contents of this Object contents thereof; and true based on my ow Objection which are belief and as a most signature of Object All the of Object All	alty of perjury that I am a claimant in de representative of a claimant; that cition (both sides and any attachmen d that the information contained in a personal knowledge, except those indicated as being known to me on the portions. I believe them to be true or or Objector's Representative d. Attorney	at I have read the ats) and know the the Objection is the portions of the information and ue.
I hereby make this Objectiforegoing Objection was se mailing true and correct copy May 199 2 Name: Simonis Address: 610 News	on. I certify that, if required, a copy of the reved upon the following Claimant(s) by pies thereof on the Lth day of , postage prepaid and addressed as follows: Donovan Accounts	I declare under pena or the duly- authorize contents of this Object contents thereof; and true based on my own Objection which are belief and as a most signature of Objecto Authorize Subscribed Authorize	alty of perjury that I am a claimant in de representative of a claimant; that stion (both sides and any attachmen de that the information contained in a personal knowledge, except thos indicated as being known to me on the politics. I believe them to be true or Objector's Representative default.	at I have read the ats) and know the the Objection is the portions of the information and ue.
COUNTY OF Marico I hereby make this Objectiforegoing Objection was semailing true and correct con May 199 2 Name: Simonis Address: 610 Newport (The above section muclaimant's Watershed F	on. I certify that, if required, a copy of the rived upon the following Claimant(s) by pies thereof on the LLth day of postage prepaid and addressed as follows: Donovan Accounts Oort Center Drive Beach, CA 92660 ust be completed if you object to another file Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued	I declare under pens or the duly- authorize contents of this Object contents thereof; and true based on my ow Objection which are belief and as a most signature of Object All the of Object All	alty of perjury that I am a claimant in de representative of a claimant; that cition (both sides and any attachmen d that the information contained in a personal knowledge, except those indicated as being known to me on the portions. I believe them to be true or or Objector's Representative d. Attorney	at I have read the ats) and know the the Objection is see portions of the information and are.
I hereby make this Objectiforegoing Objection was semailing true and correct con May 199 2 Name: Simonis Address: 610 Newport (The above section muclaimant's Watershed F Well Report. It does n	on. I certify that, if required, a copy of the rived upon the following Claimant(s) by pies thereof on the Lth day of postage prepaid and addressed as follows: Donovan Accounts Oort Center Drive OOO Beach, CA 92660 Just be completed if you object to another life Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued and need to be completed if you file an	I declare under pena or the duly- authorize contents of this Object contents thereof; and true based on my own Objection which are belief and as a most signature of Objecto Authorize Subscribed Authorize	alty of perjury that I am a claimant in de representative of a claimant; that ition (both sides and any attachmen detail that the information contained in a personal knowledge, except those indicated as being known to me on the portions. I believe them to be the province of Attorney. ND SWORN to before me this 11 1992	at I have read the ats) and know the the Objection is see portions of the information and are.
I hereby make this Objectiforegoing Objection was semailing true and correct con May . 199 2 Name: Simonis Address: 610 Newp Suite #5 Newport (The above section muclaimant's Watershed F Well Report. It does no objection to your own	on. I certify that, if required, a copy of the rived upon the following Claimant(s) by pies thereof on the Lth day of postage prepaid and addressed as follows: Donovan Accounts Oort Center Drive OOO Beach, CA 92660 Just be completed if you object to another file Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued to the need to be completed if you file an Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, rt; or to information contained in Volume 1 of	I declare under pens or the duly- authorize contents of this Object contents thereof; and true based on my own Objection which are belief and as a most signature of Objecto Authorize SUBSCRIBED A May Notary Public for the Residing at	alty of perjury that I am a claimant in de representative of a claimant; that it on (both sides and any attachmen of that the information contained in a personal knowledge, except those indicated as being known to me on the portions. I believe them to be true of the control o	t I have read the its) and know the the Objection is see portions of the information and ue. thought flag of the day of the objection of the information and ue.
I hereby make this Objectiforegoing Objection was semailing true and correct con May . 199 2 Name: Simonis Address: 610 Newp Suite #5 Newport (The above section muclaimant's Watershed FWell Report. It does nobjection to your own Catalogued Well Repo	on. I certify that, if required, a copy of the rived upon the following Claimant(s) by pies thereof on the Lth day of postage prepaid and addressed as follows: Donovan Accounts Oort Center Drive OOO Beach, CA 92660 Just be completed if you object to another file Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued to the need to be completed if you file an Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, rt; or to information contained in Volume 1 of	I declare under pens or the duly- authorize contents of this Object contents thereof; and true based on my own Objection which are belief and as a most signature of Objecto Authorize SUBSCRIBED A May Notary Public for the Residing at	alty of perjury that I am a claimant in de representative of a claimant; that it in (both sides and any attachmen de that the information contained in propersonal knowledge, except those indicated as being known to me on the politics. I believe them to be true or Objector's Representative de Attorney ND SWORN to before me this 11 1992	t I have read the its) and know the the Objection is see portions of the information and ue. thought flag of the day of the objection of the information and ue.

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix, AZ 85009, on or before May 18, 1992.

4

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

T P	he fol lease	lowing the are main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.					
X		I object to the description of Land Ownership					
		l object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees					
		l object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees					
K		I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s)					
		object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s)					
		6. I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)					
芯		I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)					
		I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)					
		I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)					
		Other Objections (classes state values a second visit of the claimed water right(s)					
Execute 1	() .	Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection)					
	MBER						
	antantan da prima						
TO COMPANY							
	-						
MADE LANGE	-						
A CONTRACTOR							

	-						

Attachment to Objection re ASARCO Incorporated, WFR # 114-04-BDD-030

- 1. ASARCO is not the land owner in this WFR. This property was conveyed by ASARCO to Central Arizona College. (310)
 - ASARCO is not the filer of, nor was it ever assigned Statement of Claimant 39-0004846. (320)
- 4. W01 is not on ASARCO property. This property was conveyed by ASARCO to Central Arizona College. (624)
- 4, 8
- & 11. Objector objects to the designation of Zone 1 well.
 Objector contends it is groundwater and is not subject to
 Zone 1, Zone 2 or any other Zone classification (510, 610, 1232, 1134)

Attorneys for Objector:

Burton M. Apker, Id. No. 001258 Gerrie Apker Kurtz, Id. No. 005637 APKER, APKER, HAGGARD & KURTZ, P.C.

2111 E. Highland, Suite 230

P.O. Box 10280

Phoenix, Arizona 85064-0280

(602) 381-0085