

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

December 4, 2024

CLERK OF THE COURT  
A. Parmar  
Deputy

SPECIAL WATER MASTER  
SHERRI ZENDRI

FILED: December 6, 2024

In Re The General Adjudication of  
All Rights to Use Water in the Gila  
River System and Source  
W-1, W-2, W-3, W-4 (Consolidated)

In re: David and Fay Gard  
Contested Case No. W1-11-2726

**MINUTE ENTRY**

Courtroom 301 – Central Court Building

10:00 a.m. This is the time set for a Status Conference to discuss a potential settlement or litigation schedule before Special Water Master Sherri Zendri.

The following parties/attorneys appear virtually through Court Connect:

- Claimants, Joel Quisenberry and Donna Quisenberry, are present on their own behalf
- Karen J. Nielsen on behalf of Arizona Department of Water Resources (“ADWR”)
- Katrina Wilkinson on behalf of Salt River Project (“SRP”)
- Joe Sparks and Laurel Herrmann on behalf of the San Carlos Apache Tribe
- Alexandra Corcoran-Shannon on behalf of the Yavapai Apache Nation (and observing on behalf of Pascua Yaqui Tribe)
- Maggie Woodward on behalf of the United States Proprietary Agencies
- John Burnside on behalf of BHP Copper

- Merrill Godfrey on behalf of the Gila River Indian Community
- Jay Lee observing on behalf of Tonto Apache Tribe
- Rhett Billingsley on behalf of American Smelting and Refining Company (“ASARCO”)
- Kevin Crestin observing on behalf of the Arizona State Land Department (“ASLD”)

A record of the proceedings is made digitally in lieu of a court reporter.

The Court notes that this matter was stayed pending resolution of the St. David Cases.

Counsel Wilkinson addresses the Court. She does not believe any changes have occurred since the last joint status report. The remaining issue is still the changes in point of diversion from surface diversion to a well. That issue is still being litigated in the St. David, Jones and Crawford cases.

Ms. Quisenberry addresses the Court. She does not have any updates to provide to the Court.

The Court proposes staying this matter for an additional six months. No objections are stated.

Therefore,

**IT IS ORDERED** staying this matter for six months. The Court will issue an order in approximately six months advising the parties of how this case will proceed based on the outcome of the St. David cases.

10:08 a.m. Matter concludes.

A copy of this order is mailed to all persons listed on the Court-approved mailing list.