## 2 3

1

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23 24

> 25 26

27

28

## IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

W-1, W-2, W-3, W-4 (Consolidated)

Case Nos. W1-11-2708; W1-11-2697

ORDER DENYING THE GILA RIVER INDIAN COMMUNITY'S MOTION IN LIMINE REGRDING THE USE OF THE ST. DAVID STIPULATIONS

CONTESTED CASE NAMES: In re Norman G. and Barbara Y. Crawford (W1-11-2708); In re Hope Iselin Jones (W1-11-2697).

HSR INVOLVED: San Pedro River Watershed Hydrographic Survey Report

SUMMARY: This order DENIES the Gila River Indian Community's Motion in Limine Regarding the Use of the St. David Stipulations.

NUMBER OF PAGES: 5

On April 28, 2025, the Gila River Indian Community ("GRIC") filed a motion in limine to exclude "evidence regarding the St. David stipulations at trial." The "St. David stipulations" refer to the Stipulation Regarding Quantification of Water Rights for Irrigation Uses ("Methodology Stipulation"), and the Stipulation Regarding Irrigation Water Duty ("Duty

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> W1-11-2697, W1-11-2708, Gila River Indian Community's Motion in Limine to Exclude St. David Stipulations ("GRIC Motion") (April 28, 2025).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The parties who signed the Methodology Stipulation on July 10, 2020 included the St. David Irrigation District, Salt River Project, United States, San Carlos Apache Tribe, Gila River Indian Community, Yavapai-Apache Nation, Tonto Apache Tribe, Arizona Chapter of the Nature Conservancy, Arizona State Land Department, City of Cottonwood, Franklin Irrigation District, Gila Valley Irrigation District, City of Mesa, City of Phoenix, Freeport Minerals Corporation, BHP Copper Inc., and ASARCO LLC.

9

15

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

<sup>9</sup> *Id.* at 5. 28

Stipulation"). GRIC argues, joined by the United States and the San Carlos Apache Tribe, that the St. David stipulations do not apply to these contested cases and are therefore irrelevant. A detailed evaluation of the applicability of both stipulations to these contested cases can be found in the Special Master's May 13, 2025 Order On Motions for Summary Judgement ("Order").<sup>5</sup> The Order demonstrated that only the Methodology Stipulation applies to these contested cases and only to the estimation of crop evapotranspiration therein.<sup>6</sup> Because estimates of crop evapotranspiration may be relevant to determining historical water use, the Methodology Stipulation may be admissible at trial.

GRIC also asserts the Stipulations were not properly disclosed by C-Spear LLC and Hartman Farms LLC ("Claimants").7 Claimants respond that the stipulations are not "legal theor[ies] supporting Claimants' case," but rather the agreements are "binding obligations" that have been presented to "prevent a party from violating their prior agreements." Claimants further show that the expert for the United States, Dr. Ley, appears to have had full knowledge of the stipulations when responding to deposition questions from Claimants.9

All parties to the Methodology Agreement invested considerable time and effort into the Agreement. It would be a great disservice if such effort was not honored and the signatory parties find themselves in the position of relitigating something they understood to already be settled. 10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Parties who signed the Duty Stipulation on September 10, 2020, included the St. David Irrigation District, Salt River Project, the United States, San Carlos Apache Tribe, Gila River Indian Community, Yavapai-Apache Nation, Tonto Apache Tribe, ASARCO, BHP Copper, the City of Phoenix, and the City of Mesa.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> GRIC Motion at 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> W1-11-2697, W1-11-2708, W1-11-2081 et al., Order on Motions for Summary Judgement at 8-11 (May 13, 2025).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> GRIC Motion at 3. <sup>7</sup> GRIC Motion at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> W1-11-2697, W1-11-2708, Claimants' Consolidated Response to the Gila River Indian Community's Motion in Limine to Exclude St. David Stipulations at 4 ("Claimants' Response") (April 30, 2025).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> ASARCO, Arizona State Land Department, and the Salt River Project join in Claimants' Response to GRIC Motion.

1	IT IS ORDERED Denying GRIC's Motion in Limine to preclude the St. David
2	stipulations.
3	
4	DATED this May of May, 2025.
5	
6	
7	Jenn J.
8	Sherri Zendri Special Master
9	Special Waster
10	
11	On May 19, 2025, the original of the foregoing was mailed
12	to the Clerk of the Maricopa County Superior Court for filing and distributing a copy to all persons listed on the Court
13	approved mailing lists for these contested cases, a copy of which is attached.
14	which is attached.
15	ZmX /
16	Emily Natale
17	
18	
19	a a
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

## Court Approved Mailing List W1-11-2697 & W1-11-2708 Prepared by the Special Master 5/19/2025

Alexander B. Ritchie San Carlos Apache Tribe Office of the Attorney General PO Box 40 San Carlos, AZ 85550

Andrew Guarino United States Dept. of Justice - ENRD Indian Resources Section 999 18th Street, N. Terrace, Ste. 600 Denver, CO 80202

Bryan Hartman 33490 West Miller Road Stanfield, AZ 85172

Clerk of the Superior Court Maricopa County Attn: Water Case 601 West Jackson Street Phoenix, AZ 85003

D. Brown, J. Brown, A. Brown G Perkins, B. Heiserman, B. Pew Brown & Brown Law Offices P.C. PO Box 1890 St. Johns, AZ 85936

David Jacobs, Kevin Crestin, & Eric Wilkins Arizona Attorney General Natural Resources Section 2005 N. Central Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85004 J. B. Weldon, M. A. McGinnis, M. K. Foy Salmon, Lewis & Weldon 2850 E. Camelback Rd. Suite 200 Phoenix, AZ 85016

Javier Ramos & Michael Carter Gila River Indian Community Office of the General Counsel P. O. Box 97 Sacaton, AZ 85147

Jeremiah Weiner, Brett Stavin, Jay Lee ROSETTE, LLP. 120 S. Ash Avenue, Suite 201 Tempe, AZ 85281

Joe P. Sparks and Laurel A. Herrmann The Sparks Law Firm, P.C. 7503 First Street Scottsdale, AZ 85251-4573

John D. Burnside Snell & Wilmer, L. L. P. One E. Washington Street, Suite 2700 Phoenix, AZ 85004-2556

Josh Edelstein Phoenix Field Solicitor Office of the Solicitor U.S. Department of the Interior Sandra Day O'Connor U.S. Courthouse 401 W. Washington St., Ste. 404, SPC 44 Phoenix, AZ 85003 Karen J. Nielsen Arizona Dept. of Water Resources 1110 West Washington, Suite 310 Phoenix, AZ 85007

Kelly Schwab & Jenny Winkler City of Chandler City Attorney's Office Mail Stop 602, P. O. Box 4008 Chandler, AZ 85244-4008

Lauren Caster Fennemore Craig, P.C. 2394 East Camelback Road Ste 600 Phoenix, AZ 85016-3429

Lucas J. Narducci Snell & Wilmer, L.L.P. One E. Washington Street, Suite 2700 Phoenix, AZ 85004-2556

M. Widerschein, M. Woodward, A. Penalosa United States Dept. of Justice -ENRD Natural Resources Section PO Box 7611 Washington, DC 20044

Merrill C Godfrey and Brette A. Pena Akin Gump Straus Hauer & Feld LLP 2001 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006 Michael J. Pearce Gammage & Burnham PLC 40 North Central Ave 20th Flr Phoenix, AZ 85004

Michael P. Rolland Engelman Berger, P.C. 2800 N. Central Ave., Ste. 1200 Phoenix, AZ 85004

Susan B. Montgomery, Robyn Interpreter Montgomery & Interpreter PLC 3301 E. Thunderbird Road Phoenix, AZ 85032

Sean Hood Fennemore Craig, P.C. 2394 E Camelback Rd, St 600 Phoenix, AZ 85016-3429

Sherri L. Zendri Special Master Central Court Building, Ste 3A 201 West Jefferson Phoenix, AZ 85003-2205

Ruth Teague Revocable Living Trust P. O. Box 70 Benson, AZ 85602

Stephen and Julia Brown 6251 S. Lighting Ranch Road Hereford, AZ 85615