St. David Irrigation District MPSJ on Priority for the Initial Designation

28

1	Cases ¹ (Oral Argument Requested)
2	San Carlos Apache Tribe MPSJ for Initial Designation Cases
3	• San Carlos Apache Tribe MPSJ for <i>In re Hope Iselin Jones</i> (W1-11-2697)
4	• San Carlos Apache Tribe MPSJ for In re Norman G. and Barbara Y.
5	Crawford (W1-11-2708)
6	Gila River Indian Community MPSJ for Initial Designation Cases
7	• Gila River Indian Community MPSJ for In re Hope Iselin Jones (W1-11-
8	2697)
9	• Gila River Indian Community MPSJ for In re Norman G. and Barbara Y.
10	Crawford (W1-11-2708)
11	United States Amended MPSJ on Priority for Initial Designation Cases
12	• United States Amended MPSJ on Priority for In re Hope Iselin Jones (W1-
13	11-2697)
14	• United States Amended MPSJ on Priority for In re Norman G. and
15	Barbara Y. Crawford (W1-11-2708)
16	
17	Because many of the issues and arguments regarding these contested cases are
18	similar, oral arguments will be heard for all motions during the proceedings set for
19	Thursday, April 17, 2025.
20	IT IS ORDERED the motions will be discussed in the following order:
21	1. Gila River Indian Community's Motions
22	2. United States' Motions
23	3. Hartman Farm's Motion re Priority
24	4. St. David Irrigation District Motion re Priority
25	5. San Carlos Apache Tribe's Motions
26	1 -
27 28	¹ In re Orie Alvin Owens, Sr., et al. (W1-11-2081); In Valley National Bank (W1-11-2089); In William & Esther Taylor (W1-11-2090); In re Ruth B. Singer (W1-11-2111); In re San Pedro Investments (W1-11-2119); In re Robin L. and Linda M. Richey (W1-11-2128);

- 6. Claimants' Motion re Forfeiture
- 7. Claimants' Motion re Water Duties

No general opening statements will be needed; parties can assume all motions, responses, and replies have been read. The Court will ask questions to movants first, and then respondents, for each motion or group of motions listed. After the discussion, parties may provide any *additional* information not previously presented that they feel is pertinent to the issues. These proceedings are open to the public, however only parties who filed motions, responses, or replies will be permitted to present oral arguments.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED the Claimant's Motion to Schedule a Site Visit will also be discussed during these proceedings.

On Office 11, 2025 the original of the foregoing was delivered to the Clerk of the Maricopa County Superior Court for filing and distributing a copy to all persons listed on the Court approved mailing lists for this contested case.

Chered Ker

Cheryl Kee