

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

April 9, 2026

CLERK OF THE COURT
B. Powell
Deputy

SPECIAL WATER MASTER
SHERRI ZENDRI

In Re The General Adjudication of
All Rights to Use Water in the Gila
River System and Source
W1-00-001234 (Consolidated)

FILED: April 14, 2026

In re: Max J. Kartchner et al. II.
Contested Case No. W1-11-002212

MINUTE ENTRY

Courtroom 301 – Central Court Building

10:00 a.m. This is the time set for a Status Conference to receive an update from the property owners before Special Water Master Sherri Zendri.

The following parties/attorneys appear virtually through Court Connect:

- Laurel Herrmann on behalf of the San Carlos Apache Tribe
- Karen Nielsen on behalf of the Arizona Department of Water Resources (“ADWR”)
- Katrina Wilkinson observing on behalf of the Salt River Project (“SRP”)
- Brad Pew observing for St. David Irrigation District
- Rachel Carroll-Farias observing on behalf of the Tonto Apache Tribe

A record of the proceedings is made digitally in lieu of a court reporter.

LET THE RECORD REFLECT that no claimants nor their representatives have appeared today.

Counsel Nielsen updates the Court regarding priority dates and that an amendment may need to be made. She also clarifies that there are only 36 filings not 38.

LET THE RECORD REFLECT that the Court strongly encourages the claimants to contact ADWR and let them know their intentions.

10:10 a.m. Matter concludes.

A copy of this order is mailed to all persons listed on the Court-approved mailing list.

LATER:

Property owner Dragoon Ranch LLC (“Ranch”) has been an active participant in this contested case since initiation. However, there was no representation from the Ranch during this status conference. If the Ranch remains interested in pursuing whatever potential water rights are available, the Ranch must continue to participate.

IT IS ORDERED that Dragoon Ranch shall file a statement with the Court no later than **May 1, 2026**, if the Ranch remains interested in pursuing potential water rights. The statement shall also include an explanation why the Ranch has not yet responded to ADWR’s request for additional information, and when the Ranch intends to respond.

Failure to comply with the above order may result in the removal of Dragoon Ranch from these the proceedings and the dismissal of this contested case.