

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

November 6, 2025

CLERK OF THE COURT  
A. Parmar  
Deputy

SPECIAL WATER MASTER  
SHERRI ZENDRI

In Re The General Adjudication of  
All Rights to Use Water in the Gila  
River System and Source  
W-1, W-2, W-3, W-4 (Consolidated)

FILED: November 12, 2025

In re: Robert S. & Judi MacNeil  
Contested Case No. W1-11-001214

**MINUTE ENTRY**

Courtroom 301 – Central Court Building

10:00 a.m. This is the time set for a Status Conference to discuss the updated report on the Amended Watershed File Report (“WFR”) before Special Water Master Sherri Zendri.

The following parties/attorneys appear virtually through Court Connect:

- J. Brown on behalf of Karl Schmitt, Patrick Dome, Joseph Coffell and the Karl Schmitt and Patrick Dome Living Trust
- Mark McGinnis on behalf of the Salt River Project (“SRP”)
- Rosemary Avila on behalf of the Tonto Apache Tribe
- Jana Sutton on behalf of the San Carlos Apache Tribe
- Michael Carter on behalf of the Gila River Indian Community (“GRIC”)
- Karen J. Nielsen and Dustin Rector on behalf of Arizona Department of Water Resources (“ADWR”)

A record of the proceedings is made digitally in lieu of a court reporter.

**LET THE RECORD REFLECT** that the United States is not represented and have been excused for today's proceeding.

The Special Master notes receipt of the updated WFR from ADWR and asks for clarification on the discrepancies on the report.

Counsel Brown addresses the Special Master. As to the abstracts, he explains that they included the properties to the East and those are outside of the WFR. They intend to align with ADWR's proposal and note that the previous abstracts are incorrect.

Discussion is held regarding the small reservoir. Counsel Brown notes that the reservoir was probably not included because it's located East of the WFR location on another parcel. Counsel Nielsen notes that it was dry from 2007 through 2023 and it is noted on page four of the report.

Discussion is held regarding priority date and the point of diversion. Counsel Brown asks for guidance on which date to use as the priority date. He notes that the 1903 right was for pasture and now there is just two de minimis domestic wells.

The Special Master notes that there is a change in use and change in diversion. Due to the issue of change in point of diversion still pending before Judge Blaney,

**IT IS ORDERED** staying this matter.

10:18 a.m. Matter concludes.

A copy of this order is mailed to all persons listed on the Court-approved mailing list.