IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

No. W1, W2, W3 & W4 Contested Case No. W1-11-002712

# MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for the San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each to information contained in Volume 1 of the	Watershed File	e Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Ca	talogued Well Report. Objections
to information contained in Volume 1 of the this form, or a computer facsimile, is recomputed to the thing form, or a computer facsimile, is recomputed to the thing form.	quired. Object	stated on one objection form. Objections must be received on or before	ections must be written. Use of
			e May 18, 1992. 9
This objection is directed to Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No.	113-12-DBC -0	22	
	please insert no.)		(please insert no.)
	OBJECTOR	INFORMATION	CLE
Objector's Name:	Salt	River Project	N (MR
Objector's Address:	Post	Office Box 52025	0)
	Phoe	nix, Arizona 85072-20	025
Objector's Telephone No:	(602	236-2210	
Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 River Watershed):	2 Well Report	No. (If the Objector's claimed wat	er rights are within the San Pedro
	_	_	
Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if	the Objector's	claimed water rights appear only	in Volume 8 of the HSR):
Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (39-07 0104	if the Objector	ric claimed unton mights and love	
39-07 0104	0. 01041	, 01206, 01207, 01998	ed outside the San Pedro Watershed).
39 <b>-</b> 05 <u>5005</u>	3. 50054	50055	
39-L8 <u>3521</u>	2. 35213	7 30033	
STATE OF Arizona			
DIME OF ALIZONA	WED.	TOTALMYAN	
COUNTY OF Maricopa	VEK.	IFICATION (must be completed	
I hereby make this Objection. I certify t required, copy of the foregoing Objection upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing correct copies thereof on the 14th day of postage prepaid and addressed as follows:	was served	I declare under penalty of perjur proceeding or the duly-authorized that I have read the contents of sides and any attachments) and kr and that the information contains based on by own personal knowledge	representative of a claimant; this Objection (both now the contents thereof;
Name: SMITH, CAREY R., ET AL.		on information and belief and as	sted as baing known to me
Address: STAR ROUTE BOX 145-T		I believe them to be true.	1
MONAHANS, TX 79756		Signature of Objector or Objector	str
(The shows continuous be and the			
(The above section must be completed if yo to another claimant's Watershed File Report Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. I need to be completed if you file an object own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Rep Catalogued Well Report, or to information	t, Zone 2 t does not ion to your	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before mental to be a before mental to be	person
in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Rep	ort.)	Residing at Maricopa County	OFFICIAL SEAL
		My commission expires	Notary Public - State of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY My Comm. Expires March 24, 1995

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix Az 85009, on or before May 18, 1992.

Watershed File Report: 113-12-DBC -022 Vol-Tab-Pg 5-2-235 SMITH, CAREY R., ET AL.

# STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

- [ ] 1. I object to the description of LAND OWNERSHIP
- [ ] 2. I object to the description of APPLICABLE FILINGS AND DECREES
- [ ] 3. I object to the description of DWR's ANALYSIS OF FILINGS AND DECREES
- [ ] 4. I object to the description of the DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 5. I object to the description of the USES for the claimed water right(s)
- [ ] 6. I object to the description of RESERVOIRS used for the claimed water right(s)
- [ ] 7. I object to the description of SHARED USES & DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
- [X] 8. I object to the PWR (POTENTIAL WATER RIGHT) SUMMARY of the claimed water right(s)
- [X] 9. I object to the description of the QUANTITIES OF USE for the claimed water right(s)
- [ ] 10. I object to the EXPLANATION provided for the claimed water right(s)
- [ ] 11. Other Objections (please state volume number, page number and line number for each objection)

# REASON FOR OBJECTION

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

CATEGORY NUMBER	
	SEE ATTACHMENT 1
School of the second se	In this attachment the uniform code designated by the
	Special Master in accordance with Case Management
	Order No. 1 is shown in parenthesis following each
	objection statement.
- Personal and the second of t	

Watershed File Report: 113-12-DBC -022 Vol-Tab-Pg 5-2-235 SMITH, CAREY R., ET AL.

PAGE: 1

#### ATTACHMENT 1

# WFR CATEGORY 8 - PWR SUMMARY

The Salt River Project objects to the apparent date of first use assigned to this Potential Water Right (PWR). Previous filings, in this case, filings made pursuant to the Water Rights Registration Act (WRRA), are the evidentiary foundation for the priority date associated with a water right. The Watershed File Report fails to set forth sufficient historical evidence to refute the date of priority claimed in the WRRA filing matched to this PWR. In the absence of such evidence, the apparent date of first use for this PWR should be the date claimed in the WRRA filing (0920). This objection applies to: OTOO1.

\* \* \* \*

The Salt River Project objects to the weight placed upon aerial photography in determining the apparent date of first use for this Potential Water Right (PWR). Where DWR concludes that no use exists on a parcel as of a given photo date, it does not follow that a claimant either had no water right to start with or abandoned that right by nonuse. Scattered photos reflecting occasional periods of nonuse over a fifty-year time span should not be interpreted by DWR to refute the priority date or dates evidenced by a claimant's previous filings (0910). This objection applies to: OT001.

# WFR CATEGORY 9 - QUANTITIES OF USE

The Salt River Project objects to the quantity of use assigned to this Potential Water Right (PWR). The regional method used by DWR for determining quantity of use for certain agricultural and other irrigation PWRs is inconsistent with the Arizona doctrine of prior appropriation; this method is also technically inaccurate. For an additional discussion of the problems associated with DWR's method of quantification for these types of PWRs, see the Salt River Project's Volume 1 objections to this method, a copy of which is attached to this objection and incorporated herein by reference (1020). This objection applies

\* \* \* \*

Watershed File Report: 113-12-DBC -022 Vol-Tab-Pg 5-2-235 SMITH, CAREY R., ET AL.

PAGE: 2

# WFR CATEGORY 9 - QUANTITIES OF USE (continued)

The Salt River Project objects to the failure of DWR to calculate a diversion rate for this Potential Water Right (PWR). All PWRs assigned a point or points of diversion should be assigned a separate diversion rate for each point of diversion. Diversion rates should be calculated at the point of diversion and should include conveyance losses (1010). This objection applies to: OT001.

EXCERPT FROM SALT RIVER PROJECT OBJECTIONS TO VOLUME 1 OF THE SAN PEDRO RIVER HSR

# REGIONAL IRRIGATION QUANTITY ESTIMATES

(page numbers refer to Volume 1)

#### INTRODUCTION

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimation methods and results for regional irrigation water quantities for the following reasons:

First, in the absence of decreed rights, which must be accepted by the court in the absence of abandonment, Arizona law requires that the extent of an appropriative right be measured according to the quantity of water that the appropriator diverted for beneficial use since the time of the appropriation. A.R.S. § 45-141(B) ("Beneficial use shall be the basis, measure and limit to the use of "water"). The "regional" quantification method employed by DWR does not properly estimate maximum actual historical beneficial use as required by law.

Second, although DWR has developed new terminology in reporting regional water duties, DWR still uses the Arizona Groundwater Code method of "areas of similar farming conditions" (ASFC), now termed "regional farming conditions" (RFC). The RFC method assigns a weighted average consumptive use requirement to the water duty equation based upon the types of crops recently grown by appropriators in a designated area. Historical information or records evincing an individual claimant's actual cropping patterns and the quantities of water actually used to cultivate such crops since the time of appropriation are not considered. In fact, the Court noted that "[average efficient use] is not directly related to what is the property's water right[s] . . . " (Entitlement Order at 6). the prior appropriation doctrine, an appropriator who has grown alfalfa on his property historically is entitled to a water duty that will support alfalfa, regardless of the crops that he or his neighbors are currently growing. Under DWR's "averaging" approach, an appropriator in this situation would be assigned an apparent entitlement inadequate to meet crop needs.

Additionally, under the RFC concept, the efficiency of various irrigation methods is averaged among appropriators, thus further exacerbating the inadequate water duty for the appropriator who does not have a system with above-average efficiency.

Third, there are several technical errors in DWR's calculation of crop consumptive use including the use of a five year crop history, adjusted weather data, relative humidity, growing season, effective precipitation, crop coefficients, alfalfa stand establishment, deficit irrigation, and efficiency estimates.

In place of regional water duties, the Salt River Project supports DWR's estimation of water duty using the "maximum potential" method since, in the absence of sufficient historical records, this method properly estimates maximum actual historical beneficial use.

These objections are more fully set forth in the following

sections.

. . . . .

Five Year Crop History

pp. 146-151, C-18, C-19, C-68 through C-78

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of its five year investigation period for computing acreages irrigated for maximum observed quantification and for computing crop irrigation water requirements for both maximum observed and regional quantifications. Indeed, it appears that DWR has relied heavily on a single year (1990) of crop survey data. The information developed from a single year, or five year period, cannot be used to properly estimate actual historical beneficial use since low consumptive use crops or no crop may be present during the period. Thus, historical cropping practices or completion of a crop rotation are not reflected.

Adjusted Weather Data pp. C-6 through C-19

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's adjustment of weather station temperatures from recorded values and relative humidities from estimated values. The temperature and relative humidity adjustment procedure is intended for prediction of crop water requirements for large, new irrigation developments where the current observations are from a nearby non-irrigated area. Because of the "clothesline" configuration of San Pedro irrigated areas in relation to the extremely arid surrounding environment, it is extremely doubtful there is any moderating effect due to surrounding irrigated land or to the San Pedro

Relative Humidity

pp. C-9, C-17, C-25, C-29, C-34, C-92

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to specify whether it used minimum relative humidity as specified in Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) Paper 24. Minimum relative humidity is not reported by Sellers and Hill. Furthermore, their 6 p.m. (1800 hours) data must be adjusted downward to reflect lower humidity in midafternoon. The proper publication date for Arizona Climate, 1931-1972, by Sellers and Hill, is 1974.

Growing Season pp. C-20, C-24

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of field observations during one or just a few years to estimate the length of growing season for perennial crops. A few field observations of irrigation dates do not define the water use period because water use occurs both before and after irrigation and because growing seasons vary from year to year. Growing seasons can best be determined for perennial crops by a relationship between plant growth and mean temperature or mean date of low temperatures over an extended period of record.

Effective Precipitation pp. C-38, C-40 through C-49

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's method of estimating non-growing season effective precipitation. The procedure used neglects runoff, uses soil constant values that are highly variable and not well quantified, and is unclear about assumptions of initial soil moisture conditions for each month. Published methods can be used to estimate non-growing season effective precipitation for the winter months, the relevant period for most crops. Furthermore, the Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of a 50 percent probability of precipitation, which results in an inadequate supply in one-half of the years. A 50 percent from crop consumptive use when DWR calculates the irrigation is subtracted requirement. This means that in years of below-average precipitation, irrigation users would be unable to replace the lack of precipitation with additional irrigation water. The amount of precipitation that is available 80 percent of the time for field crops and 90 percent of the time for orchards and vegetables is appropriate.

# Crop Coefficients p. C-33

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of 0.8 as the kc for Winter Pasture. Winter Pasture is a cool-season grass mixture that has a higher crop coefficient than a warm-season grass. SRP also objects to DWR's use of the mean of kc1 and kc3 as a value for kc2, instead of interpolation. Both FAO-24 and University of California Leaflet 21427 specify interpolation.

# Alfalfa Stand Establishment p. C-37

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to include water for alfalfa stand establishment as an "Other Need."

## Efficiency Estimates

pp. 138-140, C-51 through C-54

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's omission of the effect of a rotation delivery system on On-Farm Irrigation Efficiency. A rotation delivery system reduces On-Farm Irrigation Efficiency below that which can be achieved if irrigation water is available on demand.

The Salt River Project also objects to DWR's use of average estimated values of irrigation efficiency for regional quantification. The use of average efficiencies understates entitlements for one-half of all irrigated acres on this basis alone.

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

No. W1,W2,W3 & W4

# MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

W1-11-2712

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form, or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992.

his objection is directed to Watershe ile Report or Zone 2 Well Report No	o			
	(please insert no	o.) (please insert no.)		
	OBJECTOR	RINFORMATION	92 M	
Objector's Name:	1 Potrero, Inc.		<b>P</b>	1
bjector's Address: P	.O. Box 43121, Tucs	son, AZ. <u>85</u> 733	4	FILE
bjector's Telephone No.: 602	2 , 327-2896			0
bjector's Watershed File Report or	*	ector's claimed water rights are within the San Pedro River Wa	atershed):	
	<u> 113</u> - <u>12-</u>	DBC-014	45	
Objector's Catalogued Well Numb	ber (if the Objector's claimed water	rights appear only in Volume 8 of the HSR):		
Objector's Statement of Claimant	No. (if the Objector's claimed water	er rights are located outside the San Pedro River Watershed):		
	1	The second of the control of the con		
	. 39 -			
TATE OF Arizona			***	-
	VERIFICA	TION (must be completed by objector)		
COCKECO	•			
COUNTY OF COUNTSE	!	( , , , , )		
hereby make this Objection I cer	rtify that, if required, a copy of the	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant or the duly- authorized representative of a claimant; the	at I have read t	he
hereby make this Objection I cer pregoing Objection was served upon	n the following Claimant(s) by	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant or the duly- authorized representative of a claimant; th contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachme	at I have read tents) and know t	he he
hereby make this Objection I cer pregoing Objection was served upon lailing true and correct-copies there	n the following Claimant(s) by	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant or the duly- authorized representative of a claimant; the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachme contents thereof; and that the information contained it true based on my own personal knowledge, except the	at I have read to ents) and know to in the Objection use portions of t	he he is he
hereby make this Objection I cer regoing Objection was served upor railing true and correct-copies there May . 1992 postage	n the following Claimant(s) by set on the 13+ pday of a prepaid and addressed as follows:	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant or the duly- authorized representative of a claimant; the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachme contents thereof; and that the information contained it true based on my own personal knowledge, except the Objection which are inscaled as being known to me of	at I have read to ents) and know to in the Objection ose portions of to in information a	he he is he
hereby make this Objection I ceroregoing Objection was served upon nailing true and correct copies there May . 1992. postage	n the following Claimant(s) by set on the 13+ pday of a prepaid and addressed as follows:	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant or the duly- authorized representative of a claimant; the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachme contents thereof; and that the information contained it true based on my own personal knowledge, except the	at I have read to ents) and know to in the Objection ose portions of to in information a	he he is he
hereby make this Objection I cer pregoing Objection was served upon hailing true and correct copies there May	n the following Claimant(s) by set on the 13+pay of a prepaid and addressed as follows:	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant or the duly- authorized representative of a claimant; the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachme contents thereof; and that the information contained is true based on my own personal knowledge, except the Objection which are indicated as being known to me obelief and, as to those partions, I believe them to be to account the objection of Objector's Representative	at I have read thents) and know the Objection use portions of the original rule.	he he is he
hereby make this Objection I cer pregoing Objection was served upon nailing true and correct copies there May . 1992 postage	n the following Claimant(s) by set on the 13+pday of a prepaid and addressed as follows:	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant or the duly- authorized representative of a claimant; the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachme contents thereof; and that the information contained is true based on my own personal knowledge, except the Objection which are indicated as being known to me obelief and, as to those partions. I believe them to be to Signature of Objector or Objector's Representative	at I have read thents) and know the Objection use portions of the original rule.	he he is he
hereby make this Objection I ceregoing Objection was served upon nailing true and correct copies there May . 1992. postage  Name Carey R. Sm  Address Star Route  Monahans, T	n the following Claimant(s) by sol on the 13+pay of a prepaid and addressed as follows:  nith  Box 145T  TX 79756  Impleted if you object to another	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant or the duly- authorized representative of a claimant; the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachme contents thereof; and that the information contained is true based on my own personal knowledge, except the Objection which are indicated as being known to me of belief and, as to those partions, I believe them to be to Signature of Objection or Objector's Representative  SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this	at I have read thents) and know the Objection use portions of the original rule.	he he is he
hereby make this Objection   cer pregoing Objection was served upon pailing true and correct-copies there May . 1992. postage Name Carey R. Sm  Address Star Route  Monahans, T  (The above section must be cor claimant's Watershed File Repor Well Report It does not need to	n the following Claimant(s) by each on the 13+pday of a prepaid and addressed as follows:  11th  Box 145T  TX 79756  Impleted if you object to another 1, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued to be completed if you file an	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant or the duly- authorized representative of a claimant; the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachme contents thereof; and that the information contained is true based on my own personal knowledge, except the Objection which are indicated as being known to me obelief and, as to those partions, I believe them to be to Signature of Objector or Objector's Representative  SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this	at I have read thents) and know the Objection use portions of the original rule.	he he is he
hereby make this Objection I cer regoing Objection was served upor railing true and correct-copies there May . 1992. postage  Name Carey R. Sm  Address Star Route  Monahans, T  (The above section must be cor claimant's Watershed File Repor Well Report It does not need to objection to your own Watershed	n the following Claimant(s) by sol on the 13+pday of a prepaid and addressed as follows:  11th  Box 145T  X 79756  Impleted if you object to another to the completed if you file an ed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, and Edit Report, Zone 2 Well	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant or the duly- authorized representative of a claimant; the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachme contents thereof; and that the information contained it true based on my own personal knowledge, except the Objection which are indicated as being known to me obelief and, as to those partions, I believe them to be to be supported by the Signature of Objector or Objector's Representative  SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this	at I have read thents) and know the Objection use portions of the original rule.	he he is he nd
hereby make this Objection I cer regoing Objection was served upor railing true and correct-copies there May . 1992. postage  Name Carey R. Sm  Address Star Route  Monahans, T  (The above section must be cor claimant's Watershed File Repor Well Report It does not need to objection to your own Watershed	n the following Claimant(s) by set on the 13+pday of a prepaid and addressed as follows:  nith  Box 145T  X 79756  Impleted if you object to another a completed if you object to another to be completed if you file an ed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, aformation contained in Volume 1 of	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant or the duly- authorized representative of a claimant; the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachme contents thereof; and that the information contained it true based on my own personal knowledge, except the Objection which are indicated as being known to me obelief and, as to those partions, I believe them to be to be supported by the Signature of Objector or Objector's Representative  SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this	at I have read tents) and know to the Objection ose portions of the information a rue.	he he is he nd
Address Star Route  Monahans, T  (The above section must be corclaimant's Watershed File Report Well Report It does not need to objection to your own Watershed Catalogued Well Report; or to in	n the following Claimant(s) by set on the 13+pday of a prepaid and addressed as follows:  nith  Box 145T  X 79756  Impleted if you object to another a completed if you object to another to be completed if you file an ed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, aformation contained in Volume 1 of	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant or the duly- authorized representative of a claimant; the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachme contents thereof; and that the information contained it true based on my own personal knowledge, except the Objection which are insticated as being known to me obelief and, as to those partions. I believe them to be to be the content of the	at I have read to this) and know to the Objection ose portions of the initial factor of	he he is he he nd
hereby make this Objection I ceregoing Objection was served upon nailing true and correct copies there have a served. 1992. postage Name Carey R. Sm.  Address Star Route  Monahans, T.  (The above section must be correct claimant's Watershed File Report Well Report It does not need to objection to your own Watershed Catalogued Well Report; or to interest to the control of the con	n the following Claimant(s) by set on the 13+pday of a prepaid and addressed as follows:  nith  Box 145T  X 79756  Impleted if you object to another a completed if you object to another to be completed if you file an ed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, aformation contained in Volume 1 of	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant or the duly- authorized representative of a claimant; the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachme contents thereof; and that the information contained is true based on my own personal knowledge, except the Objection which are indicated as being known to me of oblief and, as to those partions. I believe them to be the superior of Objector's Representative SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 199 And Notary Public for the State of ARIZONA.  Residing at 1837 E. PRINCE TICS	at I have read to this) and know to the Objection obse portions of to information a rue.  3 day of 5 mm A	he he is he nd
hereby make this Objection I ceregoing Objection was served upon regoing true and correct-copies there was allowed by the and correct-copies there was allowed by the and correct-copies there was allowed by the and correct and and the angle of the above section must be correct allowed by the angle of the above section must be correct allowed by the angle of the angle	n the following Claimant(s) by set on the 13+pday of a prepaid and addressed as follows:  nith  Box 145T  X 79756  Impleted if you object to another a completed if you object to another to be completed if you file an ed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, aformation contained in Volume 1 of	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant or the duly- authorized representative of a claimant; the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachme contents thereof; and that the information contained it true based on my own personal knowledge, except the Objection which are insticated as being known to me oblief and, as to those partions. I believe them to be to be the objection of Objector's Representative  SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before one this 199 3 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	at I have read to this) and know to the Objection ose portions of the initial factor of	CTI
hereby make this Objection I ceregoing Objection was served upon reading true and correct-copies there was a served upon adding true and correct-copies there was a served upon and a served was a served upon and a served upon and a served upon and a served upon and a served was a served upon and a served upon a served	n the following Claimant(s) by sol on the 13+pday of a prepaid and addressed as follows:  11th  Box 145T  X 79756  Impleted if you object to another to the completed if you file an ed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, alformation contained in Volume 1 of 1)	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant or the duly- authorized representative of a claimant; the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachme contents thereof; and that the information contained is true based on my own personal knowledge, except the Objection which are indicated as being known to me of belief and, as to those portions, I believe them to be to significant of Objector's Representative.  SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 199 And 199 An	at I have read to this) and know to the Objection obse portions of to information a rue.  3 day of 5 mm A	CT

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION The following the are main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form. 1. I object to the description of Land Ownership 2. I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees 3. I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees 4. I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s) 5. I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s) 6. I object to the description of Reservolrs used for the claimed water right(s) 7. I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s) 8. I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s) 9. I object to the description of Quantitles of Use for the claimed water right(s) 10. I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s) 11. Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection) REASON FOR OBJECTION The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary): CATEGORY NUMBER Please refer to attached page. dated May 12, 1992. Mittel.

# MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO THE HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY REPORT FOR THE SAN PEDRO RIVER WATERSHED

OBJECTOR'S NAME: EL POTRERO, INC.

MAYX12, 1992:

THESE OBJECTIONS PERTAIN TO WATERSHED FILE REPORT NO.: 113-12-DBC-022

### REASONS FOR OBJECTIONS

### Category Number:

### Reasons For Objection:

1. Land Ownership

We believe that Mr. Smith does not own a portion of IR002, nor other un-irrigated areas within or adjacent to our farm. Furthermore, the majority of the subject land belongs to us (i.e., El Potrero, Inc.) and the remainder belongs to Mr. Jack Hughes (Watershed File No. 113-12-DBC-012).

7 Shared Uses

We do not share water or ownership of IR002 / with Mr. Smith as incorrectly stated on the Map of Water Uses. Please remove Watershed File Report Number 113-12DBC-22 from this list of shared uses.

Please note that some of the information summarized on the Watershed File Report for Mr. Carey Smith is incorrect. Please refer to the "Mandatory Form For Objections" for 113-12-DBC-014 for a brief presentation of our specific objections related to Mr. Smith's potential water rights. Because his property is incorrectly shown as being within one of our irrigated fields, we believe that most of our previous objections also apply to Mr. Smith's Watershed File Report.

# IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopal General Court in and for Maricopal General Court in and for Maricopal General Court in an article Court in ar

3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix, AZ 85009, on or before May 18, 1992.

No. W1,W2,W3 & W4 W1-11-002712

# MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
micrination contain	ate objection for each Watershed File Report, and in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on o smile, is required. Objections must be received	ne objection for	n Objections must be written II.	Objections to se of this form,
This objection is directed to V	Vatershed	05 C2	talogued Well No.	
File Report or Zone 2 Well R		or Ca	talogued Well No.	- E
	( please insert no. )		e insert no.)	B of
	OBJECTOR INFO	RMATION		-: 22
Objector's Name:	Magma Copper Company (1267	n ASA	RCO Incorporated (1263)	•
Objector's Address:	7400 North Oracle Rd	•	Box 8	1
	Suite 200		den, Arizona 85235	
	Tucson, Arizona 85704	lay	den, Anzona 65235	
Objector's Telephone No.:	(602) 575-5600	(602	) 356-7811	
	resses and telephone numbers of Objectors' a	attornevs are on	the back of this form	
		1		
Objector's Watershed File Rep	oort or Zone 2 Well Report No. (if the Objector's Magma Copper Company: 1 ASARCO Incorporated: 11	113-08-XXXX	(-022, et al.	er Watershed):
	Il Number (if the Objector's claimed water righ NOT APPLICA laimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rig 39 - NOT APPLI	ABLE ghts are located		ershed);
STATE OF ARIZONA				
	VERIFICATION	ON (must	be completed by objector)	
COUNTY OF _MARICO		(musi	be completed by objectory	
Control of the Contro		I declare un	der penalty of perjury that I am a c	doimont in this
I hereby make this Objection.	. I certify that, if required, a copy of the	proceeding	or the duly-authorized represe	annantinuss entative of a
forgoing Objection was served	upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing	claimant; th	at I have read the contents of t	this Objection
true and correct copies thereo	of on the 11th day of	(both sides	and any attachments) and know	the contents
May, 199_2_, postage	prepaid and addressed as follows:	is true base	that the information contained in d on my own personal knowledge	the Objection
		portions of	the Objection which are indica	ted as boing
Name SMITH, CARE	Y R., ET AL.	known to n	ne on information and belief and	l, as to those
and STAR ROUTE	BOX 145-T		effeve them to be true.	
Address MONAHANS,	TX 79756	C/	affrey W. Curke	#
		Signature of	Objector's Representative (Mag	ma)
			wil blocker	9
		Signature	Objector's Representative (ASA	DAO)
			BED AND SWORN to before me to	
claimant's Watershed File I Well Report. It does not r objection to your <u>own</u> Wa	e completed if you object to another Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued need to be completed if you file an tershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report,	'a a	ax 1902. nanne Junican S	hipper
Catalogued Well Report; o the Hydrographic Survey	r to information contained in Volume 1 of			
are riyurographic survey	neporti		OFFICIAL SEAL	

V

MARIANNE DUNCAN SHIPPEE

Notary Public - State of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY My Contro. Expires July 17, 1994 Opa County Countrolles Annex The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

			The back of this form,
		1.	I object to the description of Land Ownership
		2.	I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees
		3.	I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees
<b>K</b> X		4.	I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
0	v	5.	I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s)
		€.	I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)
		7.	I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
<b>Soc</b>	•	8.	I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)
	٠.	9.	I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)
	•	10.	I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s)
kαχ		11.	Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection)
00.00000000			

# **REASON FOR OBJECTION**

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

Category Number: 4, 8 and 11

Magma Copper Company ("Magma") and ASARCO Incorporated ("ASARCO") submit this objection as co-objectors.

Magma and ASARCO object to the inclusion of groundwater in this Adjudication because groundwater is neither appropriable under Arizona law (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 500, 510, 1120 and 1132), nor is it subject to claims based on federal law (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 561, 562, 1120 and 1134). In addition, this objection is intended to preserve these issues until such time as each is resolved by the Arizona Supreme Court. (Uniform Objection Code No. 1130)

While this objection pertains to a specific Watershed File Report ("WFR"), Magma and ASARCO are objecting to each WFR that classifies a well as a "Zone 1 Well" or otherwise employs the "50% - 90 day standard" to create a presumption of a well's diversion of appropriable surface water.

With respect to this particular WFR, Magma and ASARCO presently believe that the subject well(s) is/are taking nonappropriable groundwater not subject to the Gila Adjudication. However, should it be determined that the well(s) is/are taking appropriable surface water, Magma and ASARCO object to such use where such taking is a diversion of surface water without an appropriative right under state law and/or is interfering with the water rights of Magma or ASARCO. (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 600, 610 and

Magma and ASARCO are also filing this objection to obtain notice and an opportunity to be heard on all issues in the event that claims to the groundwater referenced in claimant's WFR are adjudicated.

Attorneys for Magma:

Robert B. Hoffman (004415)

Carlos D. Ronstadt (006468)

Jeffrey W. Crockett (012672)

SNELL & WILMER

One Arizona Center

Phoenix, Arizona 85004-0001

(602) 382 - 6000

Property (n. 1965) Marianton Carto

The State of Agency

Attorneys for ASARCO:

Burton M. Apker (001258) Gerrie Apker Kurtz (005637) APKER, APKER, HAGGARD & KURTZ, P.C. 2111 E. Highland, Suite 230 P.O. Box 10280 Phoenix, Arizona 85064-0280 (602) 381 - 0085

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

Contested Case File: W111002712

# MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report of Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form, or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992. Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix, AZ 85009.

This objection is directed to Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No.

113-12-DBC-022

or Catalogued Well No.

(please insert no.)

(please insert no.)

Co-Objector's Name:

**OBJECTOR INFORMATION** 

Objector's Name:

Objector's Address:

United States of America

Co-Objector's Name:

Gila River Indian Community

c/o Cox & Cox

Co-Objector's Address:

Phoenix, AZ 85003

Suite 300 Luhrs Tower

Co-Objector's Telephone No.:

San Carlos Apache Tribe; Fonto Apache Tribe; Yavapai-Apache Ind

Community; Camp Verde Reservation

c/o Sparks & Siler, P.C.

Co-Objector's Address:

7503 First Street

Scottsdale, AZ 85251

Co-Objector's Telephone No.:

(602) 949-1998

Objector's Telephone No.: (202) 272-4059 / 272-6978

601 Pennsylvania Ave.

Washington, D.C. 20004

(602) 254-7207

Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are within the San Pedro River Watershed):

111-19-009

Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the Objector's claimed water rights appear only in Volume 8 of the HSR):

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the San Pedro River Watershed):

39-11-05478

39-05-41142

39-07-12652

39-07-12676

39-05-50058

39-07-12169

39-U8-60083

39-L8-36340

39-L8-37360

39-U8-63614

39-07-12675

39-05-50059

## STATE OF ARIZONA

## COUNTY OF MARICOPA

I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 18th day of May, 1992, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

113-12-DBC-022

Name:

SMITH, CAREY R., ET AL.

Address:

STAR ROUTE BOX 145-T **MONAHANS TX 79756** 

(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well Report, or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

> OFFICIAL SEAL PAMELA L. SPARKS Notary Public - State of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY My Comm Expires Aug. 25, 1995

## VERIFICATION(must be completed by objector)

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I believe them to be true

Signature of Co-Objector's Repri

Signature o Representative

day of May, 1992.

WFR No.: 113-12-DBC-022 Contested Case File: W111002712

Page 2

#### STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(les) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

IXXI I object to the description of Land Ownership. [XX] 2. I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees. IXXI 3. I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees. 1 4. I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s). 1 1 5. I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s). [ ] 6. I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s). 7. I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s). [XX] I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s). 8. [XX] I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s). 9. [ ] I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s). 10.

#### **REASON FOR OBJECTION**

Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection).

11.

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

- There is a discrepancy between the name of owner/lessee listed by ADWR for this Watershed File Report and the name of the owner/lessee identified in the adjudication filing. (SM 320)
- The claimant and/or ADWR fail(s) to associate this claim with a pre-adjudication water filing as required by Arizona statute. (SM 420) (W01)

The individual associated with this Watershed File Report failed to file an adjudication claim as required. Therefore, the individual has no legal standing in this adjudication. (SM 475)

The available historical record does not support the priority date listed in the adjudication filings. (SM 478) (OT001)

Adjudication filings associated with this WFR contain inaccurate or incomplete information. (SM 478)

The statement of claimant lists a use not verified by DWR. (SM 478)

The use of water listed under this Watershed File Report is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal reserved rights and is contrary to state and federal law. (SM 560)

Applicable or potentially applicable filings indicate a volume of actual or claimed use less than the volume estimated by ADWR (both maximum observed and regional use for irrigation PWR's). The claimant is not entitled to more than actually used or claimed. (SM 1000)

 The individual associated with this Watershed File Report failed to file an adjudication claim as required. Therefore, the individual has no legal standing in this adjudication. (SM 475)

Adjudication filings associated with this WFR contain inaccurate or incomplete information. (SM 478)

WFR No.: 113-12-DBC-022 Contested Case File: W111002712

Page 3

8. The claimant and/or ADWR fail(s) to associate this claim with a pre-adjudication water filing as required by Arizona statute. (SM 420) (W01)

. . .

The individual associated with this Watershed File Report failed to file an adjudication claim as required. Therefore, the individual has no legal standing in this adjudication. (SM 475)

The use of water listed under this Watershed File Report is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal reserved rights and is contrary to state and federal law. (SM 560)

The legal description for the point of diversion listed by ADWR is not fully supported by the applicable filings listed. (SM 623) (W01)  $\,$ 

The legal description for the place of use of a potential water right listed by ADWR is not fully supported by applicable filings. (SM 720) (OT001000)

Applicable or potentially applicable filings indicate a volume of actual or claimed use less than the volume estimated by ADWR (both maximum observed and regional use for irrigation PWR's). The claimant is not entitled to more than actually used or claimed. (SM 1000)

9. Applicable or potentially applicable filings indicate a volume of actual or claimed use less than the volume estimated by ADWR (both maximum observed and regional use for irrigation PWR's). The claimant is not entitled to more than actually used or claimed. (SM 1000)

ADWR uses a methodology that over-estimates crop water requirements. (SM 1020)

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

## MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO

No. W111002712

The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro Diver Watershad

		7110 001	11 0010 10101 110	ei alle t		92	P Z
Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of							
the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form before May 18, 1992.					a. o spodusio mast so		E VE
·							E 2E
This objection is dire	cted to Watershed			or Catalogued Well	No.		E SE
File Report or Zone	2 Well Report No.	11312DBC 022		•		ë	<b>CO</b>
		(please insert no.	(please insert no.)			9.6	<u>6</u> E
						9	E R
		OBJE	CTOR INFORMA	TION			
Objector's Name:	Gila River Indian Commu	inity San C	arlos Apache Tribe; Tonto	Apache Tribe; Yavapai-	Apache Indian Commun	ilty, Camp Ve	rde Reservation
	C/O Cox & Cox		Sparks & Siler, P.C.				
Objector's Address:	Suite 300 Luhrs Tower, F	P.O. Box 4245 7503	First Street				
	Phoenix, AZ 85030	Scotts	sdale, AZ 85251				
Objector's Telephone: (602) 254-7207			949-1988				
012-4-1 111 1	157 5 4 5 5 11						
Objector's Watershe	d File Report or Zone 2 We	Report No. (if the Objector	s claimed water rights ar	e within the San Pedro F	River Watershed):		
		-					
Or Objector's Catalo	gued Well Number (if the O	nicataria alaimad water risht		0 -6th - HOD)			
or objector a obtain	Ages as an individual (ii aile O	olocio: a ciannaci mater tifini	s appear only in volume	o oi tile risk);			
Or Objector's Staten	nent of Claimant No. (if the C	Objector's claimed water righ	its are located outside th	e San Pedro River Water	fshed):		
39-11-05			39-07-12676	39-05-50058	39-07-12169		
39-U8-60	0083 39-L8-36340	39-L8-37360	39-U8-63614	39-07-12675	39-05-50059 🗸		
OTATE OF 100	7011					-	
STATE OF ARI		(EDIE: 0.4 T.0.)					
	'	ERIFICATION (must	be completed by objects	or)			

## COUNTY OF MARICOPA

I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the May, 1992, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Name:

SMITH, CAREY R., ET AL.

Address: STAR ROUTE BOX 145-T

**MONAHANS TX 79756** 

(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well report; or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

I declare under perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions. I believe them to be true.

Signature of Objector or Objector's Representative

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this

May 1992.

Notary Public for the State of Arizona



JAMES ROBERT RITTERHOUSE Netary Public - State of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY My Comm. Expires Jan. 5, 1994

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix, AZ 85009, on or before May 18, 1992.

# STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the chiection on the back of this form alegories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form. State of the State of Marina - 1. I object to the description of Land Ownership X 2. I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees - 3. I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees X 4. I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s) - 5. I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s) - 6. I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s) - 7. I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s) - 8. I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s) X 9. I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s) - 10. I object to the Explanation provided for the unclaimed water right(s) - 11. Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection) REASON FOR OBJECTION The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attached supporting information and additional pages as necessary. The following objection(s) are based upon information and belief: CATEGORY NUMBER The use of the water claimed depletes water for senior federal and Indian water rights (1150). HSR does not show a well registration filing (420). HSR does not show a claimed water use rate (1000). Claim date from filing(s) and/or pre-filing(s) are inconsistent (478)(430). 2