## SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA MARICOPA COUNTY

07/24/2019 CLERK OF THE COURT FORM V000

SPECIAL WATER MASTER SUSAN HARRIS

A. Hatfield

Deputy

In re: Arizona Chapter of the Nature Conservancy – Salazar-Tapia Ditch Contested Case No. W1-11-3377

FILED: 08/05/2019

In Re: The General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re: Status Conference

## MINUTE ENTRY

Courtroom: CCB 301

3:30 p.m. This is the time set for a status conference before Special Master Susan Ward Harris on the AZ Chapter of the Nature Conservancy's position on those issues set forth on page 3 of the Minute Entry filed on April 1, 2019.

The following attorneys appear in-person: David Brown on behalf of the Salazar Family Church; Charles Cahoy on behalf of City of Phoenix; Carla Consoli on behalf of the AZ Chapter of the Nature Conservancy ("TNC"); Mark McGinnis on behalf of Salt River Project, Kimberly Parks on behalf of the Arizona Department of Water Resources; and Joe Sparks on behalf of San Carlos Apache Tribe and Tonto Apache Tribe.

The following attorneys appear telephonically: Susan Montgomery on behalf of Pascua Yaqui Tribe and Yavapai-Apache Nation.

Court reporter, Lori Thielmann, is present and a record of these proceedings is made digitally.

Ms. Consoli responds to the issues set forth in the April 1, 2019 minute entry. She states that all of the issues have been resolved.

Ms. Consoli reiterates what Mr. Lutz had stated at a previous proceeding with regard to Statement of Claimant ("SOC") 39-2645. TNC is not making a claim under that SOC.

Ms. Consoli states that TNC is reviewing the proposed abstracts submitted by Mr. Brown for the Salazar Family Church. She further states that TNC has received a request to assign the 36 filing. TNC is determining its opinion on whether or not they will assign the 36 filing.

Mr. Sparks states that he has reviewed Ms. Consoli's abstracts and have indicated his client's approval of the abstracts. Mr. Sparks hasn't had the opportunity to review the revised abstract submitted by Mr. Brown therefore he has no comments at this time.

Ms. Montgomery states she has reviewed the abstracts and have submitted them to her client for their review and she hasn't heard back yet.

The Court asks Ms. Parks if ADWR would like the opportunity to review the revised abstracts even though ADWR has already reviewed the legal descriptions.

Ms. Parks states that ADWR would like to review the revised abstracts and would let the Court know if ADWR had any issues.

Mr. Brown briefly addresses the Court.

3:41 p.m. Matter concludes.

## LATER:

IT IS ORDERED that by **August 20, 2019,** Arizona Department of Water Resources shall submit its comments, if any, to the proposed abstracts for Salazar Family Church.

A copy of this order is mailed to all persons listed on the Court approved mailing list.