## SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA MARICOPA COUNTY

12/13/18

## CLERK OF THE COURT FORM V000

## SPECIAL WATER MASTER SUSAN HARRIS

S. Ortega

Deputy

FILED: 12/28/18

In re Eldon & Shirley Barney Case No. W1-11-1884 and In re Paul and Sandra Brick Case No. W1-11-1889

In re the General Adjudication of All Rights to Use Water in the Gila River System and Source

In re Status Conference

## **MINUTE ENTRY**

Courtroom: CCB 301

2:00 p.m. This is the time set for a telephonic status conference regarding potential water rights described in Watershed File Reports 112-17-BAA-206 and 112-17-BAA-218, for use on real property located in the southwest quarter of the southwest quarter of section 35 T16S R20E.

The following attorneys and parties appear telephonically: David A. Brown on behalf of St. David Irrigation District; Kimberly R. Parks on behalf of Arizona Department of Water Resources; Joe P. Sparks on behalf of the San Carlos Apache Tribe and Tonto Apache Tribe; Bradley Pew on behalf of ASARCO; Jeffrey R. Heilman on behalf of Salt River Project; and Carrie J. Brennan on behalf of Arizona State Land Department.

A record of the proceedings is made digitally in lieu of a court reporter.

Discussion is held with Ms. Brennan regarding the wells on the property. Ms. Brennan has no concerns about any of the wells.

The Court believes that Mr. Brick owns all of the land involved in these two cases. Mr. Brown states that Mr. Brick informed him that he does not have a well on his property, and that all water on his property is provided by the Pomeraine Domestic Water Improvement District.

Neither Mr. Brick nor anyone else appears to assert a claim for water for the land included in the WFR from any of the wells that ADWR listed.

Counsel do not object to the dismissal of any of the claims.

The Court dismisses all of the claims for water rights for the land involved in these two cases with the wells as the sources of water.

2:05 p.m. Matter concludes.

LATER

IT IS FURTHER ordered dismissing Paul Brick from these contested cases as to claims to divert surface water for use on the land described in WFRs 112-17-BAA-206 and 112-17-BAA-218

No further action will be taken with respect to WFRs 112-17-BAA-206 and 112-17-BAA-218 because all claims for use of water on the land included in the watershed file report have been dismissed.

A copy of this order is mailed to all persons listed on the Court approved mailing list.